$Kershnar\ v.\ Kolison$ Motion for Preliminary Injunction

Decl. Adam Steinbaugh

EXHIBIT 10

	_
$D \sim \sim \sim$	- 1
raue	- 1
_ 0. 0, 0	

IN RE: PODCAST TRANSCRIPT

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

LENGTH OF AUDIO FILE: 58:11

BRAIN IN A VAT

SEXUAL TABOOS STEPHEN KERSHNAR

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES
320 West 37th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10018
(866)624-6221

Reported by: Marissa Mignano

Job Number: 974466



		Page 2
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	MARK OPPENHEIMER: Welcome to	
3	Brain in a Vat. Today we are delighted	
4	to be joined by Stephen Kershnar from	
5	Fredonia State University in New York.	
6	And we're going to be talking about	
7	sexual taboos. Stephen, would you like	
8	to start with a thought experiment?	
9	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Imagine that an	
10	adult male wants to have sex with a	
11	twelve year old girl. Imagine that	
12	she's a willing participant. A very	
13	standard, very widely held view that	
14	there's something deeply wrong about	
15	this, and it's wrong independent of it	
16	being criminalized. It's not obvious to	
17	me that is, in fact, wrong. I think	
18	this is a mistake, and I think that	
19	exploring why it's a mistake will tell	
20	us not only things about adult child sex	
21	and statutory rape, but also about	
22	fundamental principles of morality.	
23	JASON WERBELOFF: So I'm assuming	
24	that some people think it's	
25	fundamentally wrong because they would	



		Page 3
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	disagree with that initial statement,	
3	which is, there's a twelve year old	
4	who's willing. It seems to me like	
5	there are clear cases where twelve year	
6	olds would be unwilling, but they might	
7	argue that there's no cases where a	
8	twelve year old is willing. So they	
9	might say that a twelve year old just	
10	can't be willing in that situation.	
11	They don't understand what sex is. They	
12	don't have the requisite knowledge, they	
13	don't understand the consequences. And	
14	so they're not really willing to engage	
15	in that act because they don't	
16	understand what they're willing to	
17	engage in.	
18	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Sure. So there	
19	could be two ways someone could	
20	structure this objection. They might	
21	think that children can't be willing	
22	things in general. It's an odd view in	
23	that they seem to will things all the	
24	time. They will participation in	
25	kickball, they will showing up,	



		Page 4
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	participating in the bar mitzvah	
3	lessons, bat mitzvah lessons. So	
4	there's all sorts of things that they	
5	will. You might think, well, maybe	
6	there's something distinct about sex	
7	that they can't really understand it.	
8	It's not clear to me that what they're	
9	not getting at is consent. I suspect	
10	what they want to say is, okay, they're	
11	willing participants to voluntary	
12	participants, they have some	
13	understanding what's going on. Not	
14	understanding we do, but some	
15	understanding. But they haven't	
16	consented. Perhaps that's so, but	
17	that's a different claim from they're	
18	being unwilling. There's just lots of	
19	activities that children engage in that	
20	they don't understand all that well.	
21	For example, when you first show up to	
22	participate in a judo tournament or you	
23	prepare for your bat mitzvah, you have a	
24	rough idea, but it's not clear how much	
25	you fully understand it.	



		Page 5
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	MARK OPPENHEIMER: Yeah, it seems	
3	to be the case that the view is that	
4	there must be a high level of informed	
5	consent to engage in sex. And people	
6	tend to think that there's some	
7	threshold at which you are deemed to	
8	have it. So governments have things	
9	like statutory rape laws and those	
10	change depending on which district	
11	you're in. In America, for example,	
12	from a few hundred miles, you can cross	
13	different states and they have very	
14	different rules in some places it might	
15	be 16 and some it might be 18. So	
16	there's some level of arbitrators about	
17	it. But what it's been trying to	
18	capture is this notion that even if it	
19	seems like you're giving consent, the	
20	consent should be set aside. So why do	
21	you think the consent ought not to be	
22	set aside?	
23	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So the problem	
24	with the consent aside is if consent	
25	were set aside, then it's unclear why we	



		Page 6
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	could do anything with children. Why is	
3	it that we're able to make them to go to	
4	the sister's ballet recital? Or why is	
5	it that we have them run cross country	
6	or take certain classes or have certain	
7	medical treatment? So if consent were	
8	really necessary, it's not clear that we	
9	could do anything with children, and	
10	especially those things that are not in	
11	the child's interest, like go to the	
12	family Thanksgiving ceremony. So that's	
13	kind of a high bar would rule out most	
14	of what we do with children. And with	
15	regard to American statutory rape law, I	
16	think you're being way too kind.	
17	American statutory rape law is just all	
18	over the map. Depending on the state,	
19	the age of consent is 18, 17 or 16.	
20	Some states have Romeo and Juliet laws,	
21	which mean if you're in a three-year or	
22	four-year window, you're protected, some	
23	don't. It's just all the map. Some	
24	punish it harshly, some punishment not	
25	at all. On one estimate, there are 7.5	



		Page 7
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	million instances of statutory rape that	
3	occur every year in the US. And only	
4	0.2%, a tiny fraction come to the	
5	attention of the law enforcement. So	
6	follow the map and then you have all	
7	these bizarro paradoxes. You have cases	
8	where two people can both be convicted	
9	of statutory rape for having sex with	
10	each other, or a man has sex with his	
11	legal wife, takes a video of him having	
12	sex with his wife, and he's now	
13	convicted of federal child pornography	
14	statutes. So these statutes just all	
15	over the map. They're inconsistent.	
16	They're pursued at a very uneven manner	
17	and they're sentenced in a very uneven	
18	manner. So while I think you make a	
19	good point that American statutory rape	
20	law is vague, it's worse than vague,	
21	it's just all over the map inconsistent,	
22	arguably.	
23	JASON WERBELOFF: I want to	
24	suggest some kind of limiting case	
25	argument to try and get to the twelve	



		Page 8
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	year old being in a position where she	
3	can't give consent. So let's start with	
4	a one year old. So would you agree that	
5	having sex with a one year old, the one	
6	year old could never give consent and it	
7	would always be impermissible? Would	
8	you agree with that to start? And then	
9	my goal will be to move you up right, is	
10	to say, well, if one it's not okay, at	
11	two it's not okay, three it's not okay.	
12	At what point does it become okay?	
13	There's some point at which it's not	
14	okay, and some point where it does	
15	become okay, but just below that it's	
16	not okay. And then at that point I want	
17	to stick there and say, okay, well,	
18	that's where our law should stick.	
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. So	
20	there's a couple of things to say here.	
21	One is, even if you are looking for a	
22	threshold, let's say there's a	
23	threshold, I'm making this number up,	
24	but let's say it's at age eight still,	
25	that tells you that some adult child sex	



		Page 9
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	is permissible. Second, the notion that	
3	it's wrong even with a one year old is	
4	not quite obvious to me. There are	
5	reports in some cultures of grandmothers	
6	fellating the baby boys, to calm them	
7	down when a colicky.	
8	Now, I don't know if it's true,	
9	but this is sort of why they report it	
10	as occurring in at least one culture and	
11	it working that the grandmothers believe	
12	this actually works. If this were to be	
13	true, and again, I don't know it to be	
14	true. If it were to be true, it's hard	
15	to see what would be wrong with it. So	
16	yeah, I guess I think no, I don't think	
17	there's a blanket period beyond which	
18	this is permissible. If we're	
19	interested in willing participation,	
20	which is the way I structured it, then	
21	yeah, there's a point below which people	
22	aren't willing participants in anything	
23	because they don't have intentions or	
24	they don't have the sort of mental	
25	states that allow for willing	



		Page 10
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	participation. But no, I don't think	
3	it's blanket wrong at any age.	
4	MARK OPPENHEIMER: So David	
5	Benatar wrote this piece on two	
6	different views of sexual ethics and he	
7	says the one view is the casual sex	
8	view, which is that sex is like any	
9	other pleasure, it's like eating	
10	chocolate and therefore there's nothing	
11	wrong with engaging in premarital sex.	
12	And the other view is the significance	
13	view, which is that in order to for any	
14	sex to be moral, it has to be of a	
15	certain level of significance. It must	
16	be meaningful. It might be that you	
17	think that the sufficient level of	
18	meaning is that you're married, might be	
19	that you're in a committed relationship,	
20	but it is a significant activity in and	
21	of itself. And one of the implications	
22	he thinks of the casual sex view is that	
23	it becomes quite hard to explain the	
24	wrongness of pedophilia. So if, as you	
25	mentioned, there are all sorts of things	



		Page 11
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	that we make children do against their	
3	will. So you have to brush your teeth,	
4	you have to go to bed by a certain hour,	
5	you have to go to school, you have to go	
6	to Thanksgiving and endure kisses from	
7	your grandma and we're doing it because	
8	we think it's good for you and maybe	
9	you'll learn to like it. The argument	
10	is, well, if sex is like any other	
11	pleasure and maybe you can even have a	
12	situation where there's some level of	
13	enjoyment from the child. In other	
14	words, at a superficial level, there's a	
15	physicality and as you said, the baby is	
16	sedated after the activity and you might	
17	argue that it's more like a medicalized	
18	thing there as opposed to a sex act with	
19	the grandmother. The grandmother is not	
20	doing it for her own titillation, it's	
21	to coddle the child. But there is	
22	sexual activity that's going on, I	
23	suppose. So, it seems that if those	
24	that are uncomfortable with the adult	
25	child sex but also want to hold on to a	



		Page	12
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	casual sex view because that's the		
3	general view that they might have about		
4	ordinary sex, they've got a tension and		
5	maybe they have to pick between these		
6	two positions or they've got to live		
7	with this difficult contradiction.		
8	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, I think		
9	that's a great point and David Benatar's		
10	work is always worth hearing and		
11	interesting. That said, I do not agree		
12	with the significance view, and I think		
13	there's several ways you can see it's		
14	false. Here's one way to see it.		
15	Imagine you try to pick out what's the		
16	wrong maker when someone has casual sex?		
17	It doesn't seem that there's a right		
18	infringement, right? Both people waive		
19	their right. If they waive their right		
20	against a claim for noninterference,		
21	it's hard to see what's wrong if there's		
22	no right infringement. And maybe a		
23	little more formal about the argument.		
24	If something's wrong, then it seems to		
25	wrong someone. To wrong someone		



		Page 13
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	infringes a duty that you owe them a	
3	duty you owe someone is just a claim.	
4	On a whole feldian taxonomy, a claim is	
5	just a right. So if it's wrong, then	
6	you infringe on someone's right.	
7	There's no right infringement. But even	
8	if you thought there was some other	
9	function, like it's degrading or it's	
10	exploitative or it's objectifying, it's	
11	a little hard to see how any of these	
12	are present that are not present in	
13	other activities. There's not really a	
14	transaction here, so it's not really	
15	exploitative. As far as objectifying, I	
16	fail to see how it's different than a	
17	wrestling match or a judo match and	
18	you're participating with someone for	
19	some activity. What else would	
20	distinguish the two? So it's hard to	
21	see what the wrong maker is.	
22	Now, perhaps someone said, okay,	
23	well, maybe not it's not wrong, it's	
24	bad. But again, the same sort of use,	
25	parallel arguments. It's hard to see	



		Page 14
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	that it sets back the well being of	
3	either individual. So it doesn't seem	
4	to be a bad maker, it doesn't seem to	
5	disrupt what people deserve. It doesn't	
6	lessen deserted just well being. It	
7	doesn't lessen the objective goods we	
8	have in life; love, autonomy, virtue,	
9	knowledge. So it's hard to see why it's	
10	bad. Perhaps someone says, well, okay,	
11	it's not wrong, it's not bad, but it's	
12	meaningless. Well, I actually doubt	
13	that there is such a feature of	
14	meaningless independent of what's good	
15	or simplicity or what's good for you.	
16	But even if I'm wrong about that,	
17	usually what's meaningful is the idea	
18	that you take pleasure in those things	
19	that are worthy of pleasure. Well, this	
20	begs the issue, right? It begs the	
21	question. Why isn't this worthy of	
22	pleasure. So I guess I think it's not	
23	wrong, it's not bad. I don't think	
24	meaninglessness is a real category, but	
25	if it were, it's probably satisfied. So	
1		



		Page 15
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	I just don't think that Benatar's	
3	approach is correct.	
4	MARK OPPENHEIMER: So I just want	
5	to give a couple of further cases that	
6	if you commit yourself to a casual sex	
7	view, you might have to bite other	
8	bullets. Benatar, by the way, it just	
9	says these are the two views and these	
10	are the implications for both views. It	
11	doesn't actually put you in favor of one	
12	or the other.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right.	
14	MARK OPPENHEIMER: So here's the	
15	other problem, is that if you have a	
16	casual sex view, you might think that	
17	rape is wrong, but it's hard to explain	
18	the extent of the wrong. So if I can	
19	force you to do other things against	
20	your will, like go to sports practice	
21	some of the other things I mentioned	
22	earlier, we think it's wrong to violate	
23	your consent. But what's special about	
24	sex? If sex is like any other pleasure,	
25	well, is it that bad? So most people	



		Page 16
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	take the view that rape is a very bad	
3	wrong and it seems like a casual sex	
4	view or the kind of view you're	
5	articulating means that maybe it's a	
6	mild wrong. The other kinds of cases	
7	would be where you don't have the	
8	experience of a harm. So you can	
9	imagine someone who's in a coma and you	
10	have sex with them and they never find	
11	out about it. You might say, well, this	
12	is a perfectly moral activity. The one	
13	person's having a jolly good time that	
14	might be a particular fetish that they	
15	have. They really enjoy having sex with	
16	people with comas maybe to the extent	
17	they don't want to have sex with anyone	
18	else and the coma patient never finds	
19	out. Assume that they're incapable of	
20	falling pregnant, there'll be no	
21	consequences for them. They're not	
22	going to pick up an STD. The ordinary	
23	moral intuition is that this person has	
24	been raped and that's something	
25	extremely wrong.	



		Page 17
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. So two	
3	excellent points. So the first issue is	
4	how do you account for the wrongness of	
5	rape? I don't think this is distinct to	
6	rape. There's an issue here. How do we	
7	in generally, why is it worse to commit	
8	a murder than a rape? Worse to commit a	
9	rape than an aggravated battery, worse	
10	to commit an aggravated battery than a	
11	burglary, and worse burglary than a	
12	petty theft. On the best accounts of	
13	non-consequentialism, I think there's	
14	two ways you can fill it out. One is on	
15	the identity of the right that's	
16	infringed, or second is the extent to	
17	which the right is infringed. And so	
18	whatever mechanism you use there to	
19	explain why battery is worse than	
20	burglary, I think you can use it here.	
21	Either it's a more fundamental right,	
22	the right to your body versus the right	
23	to your property, or it's set back to a	
24	much greater degree. And it's set back	
25	in the sense that the interference with	



Page 18 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 your either interest or autonomy is that 3 much greater. And as such, that's why we think that rape is such a severe wrong. So I'm not sure that there's anything distinctive in the context of rape that prevents us from explaining the wrongfulness of the activity. As far as the coma case, there's a 10 real issue as to how rights operate in 11 the context of comas, and the reason for 12 that is the person does not have a 13 setback to an interest or autonomy. 14 so we say, okay, well, they have their 15 rights anyway, but it's actually a 16 little hard to see why that's the case. 17 It is true that other people who are 18 similarly situated have interest and 19 autonomy but it's not clear why the 2.0 comatose person does. But imagine, 21 okay, look, they still have rights even 22 though they're no longer justified by 23 interest or autonomy -- not to agree 24 with that, but let's say someone thought 25 that, okay, well if that were the case



		Page	e 19
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	then that would explain why it's wrong		
3	to have sex with someone in a comatose		
4	state. But again, I don't think there's		
5	any distinctive here. Is it wrong to		
6	take a comatose person's property? Is		
7	it wrong to paint them with funny		
8	mustache or to dress them up in ways		
9	that they would find truly offensive?		
10	If you have rights independent of		
11	interest or autonomy then all these		
12	things can be wrong and whatever		
13	justifies the right at this point in		
14	time is going to justify why it's more		
15	wrong to have sex with a comatose person		
16	than to take their thing. But again,		
17	it's a little hard to see what exactly		
18	is the wrong maker there. So pretty		
19	much everyone has the view that there's		
20	something really wrong and bad going on		
21	in Kill Bill with a bride but when		
22	actually try to fill it out it's		
23	actually surprisingly difficult.		
24	JASON WERBELOFF: So far we've		
25	focused on the wrong maker being consent		



		Page 2	20
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	based or being rights based. So it's		
3	down to logical but as the resident		
4	utilitarian I want to push for		
5	consequences, right? So I want to say,		
6	well, the reason it's wrong to have sex		
7	with the twelve year old is it's going		
8	to have negative consequences. Now I		
9	know your obvious response to that is		
10	going to be but sometimes it won't. So		
11	you're going to say something like		
12	sometimes the twelve year old enjoys it,		
13	learns something from it, has a good		
14	time and it doesn't have a negative		
15	consequence in their life.		
16	And there's two ways one can		
17	respond to that. So the one is by		
18	saying well actually it's negative		
19	consequences but the child misreports		
20	them. So that's to deny the empirical		
21	claim. But the other route which I want		
22	to take is to say when the consequences		
23	are negative, they're dark. And so		
24	because there is a significant		
25	probability of those consequences		



Page 21 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 happening, you shouldn't engage in the 3 act, because if that child has a bad time, it could scar them for life. And that is enough of a risk that it would explain the wrongness of the adult child sex interaction. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Great. me take the first one, the empirical 10 claim first. Here, it's actually quite murky. There's a pair of studies by 11 12 Bruce Rynd and some others that kind of 13 looked at the long term consequences of 14 adult child sex and what they discovered 15 is something like -- and again these 16 studies are highly controversial, 17 there's enormous debate over how they're 18 put together. But -- so roughly about 74% of males reported they had kind of a 19 2.0 positive or neutral view after, and I 21 think 45% of females this is well after 22 reported like a positive or neutral 23 view. And then anecdotally things are 24 all over the map on this. And same 25 thing with the people who engaged in



		Page	22
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	statutory rape during their teenage		
3	years; probably involved something like		
4	hebephilia rather than pedophilia. So		
5	it's just not clear that these things do		
6	have negative consequences in general		
7	and it's even worse because you might		
8	think the negative consequences are in		
9	part affected by the negative view		
10	society has for these things, right? In		
11	the same way that society has very		
12	negative views towards interracial		
13	relationships there might be a certain		
14	amount of suffering or pain because of		
15	disapproval. And in addition, you're		
16	right, I am going to say well out of		
17	most shows that it's wrong in some		
18	cases, not in others. And yeah, so		
19	that's not going to produce a blanket		
20	ban. In addition, on a utilitarian		
21	balance you have to look at the positive		
22	and the negatives. But let me take the		
23	risk case. You're saying, look, we		
24	could look at the expected utility or		
25	perhaps another way to put it is to look		



Page 23 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 at the risk. 3 A couple of things I want to say here. One is that I don't think risk is 5 itself a wrong maker. I think risk is a risk that you perform a wrong action. That is probabilities themselves don't make our lives go better or worse. don't think that probability themselves 10 directly play into the rightness or wrongness of an action. 11 12 I actually think what they go 13 toward is blameworthiness, right? Are 14 you blameworthy for doing this? 15 yeah, it might be the case that if the 16 risk is large enough you're blame worthy 17 for doing. So, perhaps someone is 18 blameworthy but it doesn't show that 19 it's wrong. 2.0 And again here the numbers matter, 21 right? If in fact take the case of 22 statutory rape. So we're talking about 23 teenagers here rather than children 24 here. The number of males who report 25 sort of a positive relation is



		Page 24
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	extraordinarily high and even the notion	
3	of women who report are really high. So	
4	yeah, I guess even on a risk analysis	
5	it's not obviously that risky.	
6	So for example, for teenagers,	
7	roughly 50% of teenagers who are 16 or	
8	younger in the US have had sex. So	
9	yeah, it just doesn't seem that big a	
10	risk, at least for teenagers. So I	
11	guess I think, look, the risk is not a	
12	wrong maker. It does go towards	
13	blameworthiness. Maybe people are	
14	blameworthy. That's not obvious to me.	
15	And also even if they are blameworthy,	
16	it goes to what they're thinking. Blame	
17	to me is an internalist feature. So we	
18	have to look inside the person's head.	
19	It just might not be the case that these	
20	people have blameworthy mental states.	
21	Maybe they maybe they should have	
22	thought of this. But that doesn't make	
23	you blameworthy.	
24	JASON WERBELOFF: I like that	
25	response because it's bold and I like it	



		Page 25
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	because it kind of denies probabilistic	
3	utilitarianism, right? So it says,	
4	well, if you're going to be a	
5	utilitarian you've got to evaluate the	
6	rightness or the wrongness of your	
7	action on the actual consequences of	
8	that action, not on the probabilities of	
9	negative consequences or positive	
10	consequences resulting.	
11	I'm a probabilistic utilitarian	
12	for various reasons like this that push	
13	me that way. But if I've gotten you to	
14	a point where you say, well, the only	
15	way I can justify my position is by	
16	denying that type of utilitarianism,	
17	then we reach an impasse. But I say,	
18	well that's a stalemate and it's a	
19	stalemate I'm willing to live with	
20	because it makes your position a little	
21	bit less plausible, right, that you have	
22	to push that way.	
23	The second point I want to make is	
24	even if it is the case that 74% of male	
25	teenagers who engage in sex with an	



		Page 26
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	adult report a positive experience, even	
3	if you might say from that data that	
4	there's a low probability that they'll	
5	have a negative experience, and I agree	
6	with that. But the question is those	
7	other 26%, perhaps some of those had a	
8	horrendous experience. So it wasn't	
9	just little bad, it could have been	
10	very, very, very bad. And even	
11	though there's only a low probability of	
12	that very bad thing happening say 24%,	
13	it might be enough to justify saying,	
14	well, hold on, that isn't something that	
15	I should do.	
16	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Okay. So an	
17	excellent point. I'm highly	
18	sympathetic. Let me address	
19	probabilistic utilitarianism. So I am	
20	not a fan of probabilistic	
21	utilitarianism and here's my argument.	
22	Generally we say things like pleasure is	
23	good or well being is intrinsically	
24	good. And the sort of consequentialism	
25	then says, look, the right is a function	



Page 27 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 of and only of the good. 3 I think if you go to probabilistic utilitarianism you have to sever one of those two claims. I think that's a huge price to pay. It's not as huge as it is for ruling utilitarianism, but it is quite huge. So that's one reason to reject it. A second issue is the 10 framing of the probabilities. You have 11 to say, okay, is the probabilities for Alice in the situation, for 13 year old 12 13 girls in the situation, for girls ages 7 14 to 16. And there's no way to set the 15 reference class by which to make it correct or incorrect. So second is the 16 17 issue of setting the reference class. 18 The third issue is a variant of Derek Harvard's Egyptology. For looking 19 2.0 at the reference class with the 21 probabilities then how well the sex went 22 in ancient Egypt affects whether or not 23 Jones can have sex with a 13 year old 24 girl today. Strikes me highly 25 implausible that whether or not Jones



Page 28 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 should have sex with this girl today 3 depends on facts o ancient Egypt. So three quick objections 5 probabilistic it severs the notion being the right and the good, the reference class problems are severe and introduces Egyptology. But let's say I'm wrong about all 10 that. Let's say that -- do we think 11 this about other issues? We say, look, 12 before I have my son get bar mitzvahed 13 or engaged in -- join wrestling, I 14 really have to decide, is this really 15 the best thing for him as compared to 16 other activities? Just in common sense 17 terms? I don't think we approach it 18 like this. So if we're using intuitions rather than being strict 19 2.0 consequentialists, I think, look, do we 21 really do this calculation? Do we 22 really say absolutely the best use of 23 his time is preparing for his bar 24 mitzvah rather than, for example, 25 studying extra math classes?



		Page 29
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	So yeah, I guess I just don't	
3	think we approach it in those common	
4	sense terms. There's no escaping this.	
5	If you're a probabilistic utilitarianism	
6	and the risk here is huge, I don't think	
7	that we know that the risk is huge in	
8	the way in which you're putting. The	
9	risk, I think, is with regard to	
10	unwilling sex with underage individuals	
11	like out and out rape. And I agree with	
12	you, that's horrendous. That's a	
13	terrible thing to do. It's severe wrong	
14	and should be severely punished. But	
15	you're saying sort of willing sex that	
16	people didn't enjoy that that has a risk	
17	of severe harm. I don't think we know	
18	that empirically. In fact, we don't	
19	know that empirically. But again, we	
20	would depend on the actual what do the	
21	studies show and what can be best	
22	determined.	
23	JASON WERBELOFF: I think it's a	
24	good answer and yeah, I still stick with	
25	my probabilistic utilitarianism, but I	



		Dago	20
1	Covered Taboog Ctophon Vorgham	Page	30
	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	find it very interesting that if you		
3	remove probabilistic utilitarianism, you		
4	would find it very hard to defend the		
5	view that adult child sex is in		
6	principle wrong.		
7	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Right. If		
8	we're in the area of legislation rather		
9	than morality, here's a rule of thumb:		
10	If you don't know whether something has		
11	expected good or expected bad		
12	consequences, the thumb on the scale		
13	should go to liberty. So if we don't		
14	know whether willing sex with 15 year		
15	olds is going to have net good or bad		
16	consequences, it's like, say, oh well,		
17	go ahead and ban it. The idea is that		
18	people trying to criminalize something		
19	bear the burden and even having harsh		
20	opinions on this. Before we condemn		
21	people for engaging these on the basis		
22	of probabilistic utilitarianism, we		
23	should know that it does pose a risk for		
24	those and only those willing		
25	participants. I don't think we know		



		Page 3	31
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	that.		
3	MARK OPPENHEIMER: I think also to		
4	not let Jason off the hook, esotericism		
5	by nature is always going to be		
6	contingent on the particular facts that		
7	you find yourself in, and the		
8	probabilities are going to be contingent		
9	on that particular place. So you can		
10	imagine if you're in a highly neurotic		
11	society where anyone who, let's say,		
12	engaged in willing sex below a certain		
13	age, there's a high likelihood that		
14	they're going to go see their analyst.		
15	And the analyst is going to say you		
16	think you're consented to this, but you		
17	are wrong and deluded and the worst		
18	possible thing ever happened to you.		
19	And you need to be in therapy for the		
20	next 40 years going through this deep		
21	trauma. That's the kind of society you		
22	live in. Well, I expect the probability		
23	that this was a bad thing to have		
24	happened. If you live in, like, a		
25	hippie commune where people are having		



Page 32 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 sex at a young age all the time, and 3 everyone says, a celebration of our body, and there's no neuroscience whatsoever, and no one in that society has ever felt any kind of trauma about having sex under the age of 18, well, then there are probabilities, right? And so Jason can't, in principle, rule 10 it out. It's going to very much depend on the facts of the case. 11 12 I suppose people that are going to 13 have an in-principle objection are going 14 to have to look at things beyond 15 consequences. So they're going to have 16 to say it's wrong in and of itself. 17 maybe one of those kinds of cases to say, well, you have this obligation to 18 19 yourself to not do that to your body, 2.0 that it's somehow sacred, and that if 21 you willingly engage in this, you are a 22 co-wrongdoer as the child, and the other 23 person has exploited you because you couldn't have freely given your consent 24 25 or something like that, and they've used



Page 33 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 you as a means only. And regardless of 3 the consequences, the thing is wrong in and of itself. Again, you might have to start biting other bullets. So in our discussion with Raja Hawani, he thinks that all sex is using someone as a means only. It's being driven by passion. 10 It's by seeing them as this body that 11 you want to take advantage of for 12 pleasure. And maybe it's not so simple 13 when you want to draw these lines. 14 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think it's 15 three excellent points. So let me 16 address them per points. So one is, 17 yeah, I think framing issues and 18 societal attitudes are both relevant and 19 in place here. I suspect that being gay 2.0 in parts of the Middle East is very 21 different than being gay in Ithaca, New 2.2 So that's going to have -- bound York. 23 to have some impact on the way in which 24 people looked at it, and particularly 25 whether at the end of the day, they see



Page 34 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 it as harmful and perhaps even whether 3 it is harmful based on the disapproval of family, friends, peers and so on. As far as the notion that sex wrongs yourself, again, I think this is to misidentify the wrong maker. Even if you had claims against yourself, I'm not sure that you do, it's not clear why you 10 can't waive them. We waive rights in general. We waive rights all the time. 11 12 You allow people to touch you, you allow 13 your spouse to touch you. You allow 14 your judo partners to touch you. 15 can't you waive rights? We do this standardly. If you can waive rights 16 17 against others, why can't you waive 18 rights against yourself? As far as the notion that sex --19 2.0 not directly relevant here, but that sex 21 always uses someone merely as a means, I 22 guess I don't think that's right. 23 think that sort of not a correct account 24 of Kant. What I think Kant would say 25 is, well, you treat someone as an end



Page 35 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 when you treat someone as a free and 3 rational being, and you treat someone as a free and rational being when you respect that individual's rights. So again, since you've waived the relevant rights, it's hard to see why you haven't respected that person as a free and rational being, and as such, you've treated that person as an end. 10 Now, I know there's a lot of Kantian 11 12 theories, you typically see this in the 13 philosophy of sport, where people say, 14 well, treating someone's end is not 15 solely a function of rights. 16 okay, well, what is the additional 17 determinant? And that actually gives us 18 some sort of criterion rather than some 19 vague appeal to degradation or 2.0 exploitation or objectification. 21 I don't see it. And even if there were 2.2 such a claim based on degradation or 23 exploitation or objectification or 24 something along the lines, it's not 25 clear that I can't waive it.



		Page 3	36
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	It's an odd view that you have		
3	claims that you don't own, and it's a		
4	particularly odd view if you're		
5	emphasizing the notion that people are		
6	free and rational beings, that they		
7	don't own their own claims. So I think,		
8	one, in sex, we treat someone as an end		
9	all the time, at least you've got the		
10	full consent. But two, yeah, I think		
11	it's just a misunderstanding to say that		
12	you treat someone merely as a means.		
13	And again, Roger is great, I benefit		
14	enormous amount from his work. But on		
15	this one, I disagree.		
16	JASON WERBELOFF: So there is, and		
17	you've mentioned this, an enormous		
18	antipathy towards pedophiles and towards		
19	pedophilia. So there's just an		
20	incredibly strong gut reaction.		
21	Now, on the one hand, we might try		
22	to explain that by citing the wrong		
23	maker of the action, right? So you've		
24	done a very good job of explaining how		
25	deontology specifically rights and		



		Pa	ge	37
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar			
2	consent and using people as a means to			
3	an end. Those issues aren't clear wrong			
4	makers in pedophilia, and then we			
5	discuss utilitarianism and consequences.			
6	But there's a third route that people			
7	could take, which is to say, well, the			
8	pedophile is vicious, they have a poor			
9	character. So even if everything goes			
10	well, so even if the twelve year old has			
11	a good time and doesn't report			
12	negatively about the experience, and			
13	even if you want to keep probabilities			
14	in the mix and say, well, there's a high			
15	probability that will go well, and even			
16	if there's no rights that are set aside,			
17	and even if consent isn't an issue here			
18	and there is willingness, there's still			
19	a viciousness on the part of the			
20	pedophile. Viciousness meaning that			
21	there is a vice that they're enacting			
22	through the act. So how would one			
23	respond to that? And as a corollary			
24	question, it seems like part of the			
25	issue that people have with pedophilia			



		Page	38
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	is not just the act, but even the		
3	imagination, even the thought of it.		
4	And that points at the viciousness		
5	issue, right? So it points at this idea		
6	that if someone even just has the		
7	thought of it, that is morally		
8	abominable because there's something		
9	wrong with their character, even if it		
10	never eventuates in an act.		
11	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Superb. Yeah,		
12	so I think this gets at exactly how a		
13	lot of people think of it. They think,		
14	look, I just find this disgusting. I		
15	don't know why I find disgusting or what		
16	I mean by it being disgusting, but it's		
17	just disgusting. And then they say,		
18	look, there's something really wrong		
19	with you if you're having these		
20	fantasies or if you're watching cartoon		
21	adult child pornography. Like that is		
22	also outrageous. Although why it's		
23	outrageous, you're right, it's probably		
24	going to be vice. So a couple of things		
25	to say here. One, even if it were		



		Page	39
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	vicious, being vicious is not itself a		
3	wrong maker. And when we do the right		
4	thing for the wrong reason and a wrong		
5	thing for the right reason, so it really		
6	doesn't affect whether or not the acts		
7	are right or wrong. I'm not sure		
8	viciousness is a major component of the		
9	good and the bad. So even if it was		
10	vicious, it's not clear that even is		
11	enough to make it bad. It's got to		
12	compete against pleasure. And so which		
13	one wins out is not obvious to me. But		
14	let's take on the directly. Let's		
15	consider directly a notation that it's		
16	vicious. I just don't think it's		
17	vicious, and here's why.		
18	So here's what I take vice to be.		
19	I take vice to be a property of an		
20	attitude. So I'm using Thomas Hark's		
21	account here. And so involves either		
22	loving evil or hating the good, or		
23	involves an appropriately aligned		
24	attitude like loving the good or hating		
25	evil. But that's so disproportionate in		



		Page	40
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	its intensity that it becomes a vice.		
3	Let's take the former case that vice		
4	includes loving evil or hating the good.		
5	Well, it doesn't really involve hatred		
6	when you're watching these things. So		
7	it involved would involve loving evil.		
8	Okay. So does it involve loving		
9	evil? Well, there's two ways we can		
10	look at this. It could be that you're		
11	vicious if you love something that's		
12	absolutely evil. So it's got to		
13	correspond in a certain way with the		
14	world. Or the way I prefer it, which is		
15	that you love evil in the sense that you		
16	believe it to be evil. So that's an		
17	internalist account of vice, since I		
18	think virtue and vice are purely		
19	internalist, think the internalism is		
20	the way to go. But let's look, the		
21	externalist account for having a sexual		
22	fantasy or desiring prepubescent		
23	children or young teens is wrong because		
24	your desire is directed at something		
25	which is evil.		



		Page 41
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	Well, that just begs the question,	
3	right? We have to know what makes it	
4	evil, right? You still need to	
5	establish that's evil to show that your	
6	desire directing toward it is directed	
7	towards an evil. So I don't think we've	
8	gotten very far. In addition, I'm	
9	highly skeptical of these externalist	
10	accounts of vice, right? Because,	
11	again, they make it depend on something	
12	outside your head. But let's take	
13	clearly what's inside of your head. So	
14	imagine that are you desiring something	
15	that you believe to be evil or bad? I	
16	guess, I don't think people have that	
17	course, a desire. I think it's more	
18	fine grained. Were this to occur, it	
19	would be sexy. Not that it's good that	
20	it would occur, but were it to occur.	
21	So, for example, on some accounts, over	
22	half of women have forced sex fantasies.	
23	There's at least some reason to believe	
24	these are rape fantasies. So some	
25	enormous percentage of women, probably	



Page 42 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 over half, have rape fantasies. Do they 3 really think it would be good if they or someone else were raped? Absolutely 5 They have fine grained fantasies. They say, were this to occur, it would be sexy. It would not be, all things considered, good. It'd be atrocious. But there would be a sexiness to it. 10 And this is true. We watch all sorts of 11 violent movies that we think are excellent. 12 13 I'll just give a few examples, 14 right? We watch Shane. We're glad to 15 see the bad guy cut down. We watch Full 16 Metal Jacket. We like the artistry of 17 that. We watch the brutality of the 18 Deer Hunter. We say, well, that's a 19 fascinating movie; I'm glad I watched 2.0 Even though I watched all sorts that. 21 of horrendous violence and cruelty. 22 I think we have very fine grained attitudes towards scenarios. One of 23 24 those fine grained attitudes is that 25 that scenario is sexy. So I would claim



Page 43 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 that it's not vicious. And again, you 3 could see it on the two interpretations of what it means to be vicious. MARK OPPENHEIMER: So I'd like to pause a bit on this realm of fantasy where people kind of conjure up an image, but they never act on it and whether that could be wrong. So you can 10 imagine the abstract case where someone 11 imagines raping -- raping an abstract 12 person. And then there's a case where 13 they imagine raping a very particular 14 So they imagine raping your 15 mother. And your mother has a very strongly held interest in being well 16 17 regarded. She would be horrified to 18 know that someone is imagining raping 19 her, but she never finds out. So in 2.0 other words, she holds an interest. 21 She's unaware of the interest being set 2.2 aside, but it is in fact, being set 23 aside through the fantasy process. 24 she had a pick between worlds where 25 everybody treated her well and regarded



Page 44 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 her well versus a world where everyone 3 treated her well and regarded her poorly, she's going to pick the first world. She thinks that, in other words, the way people think of you matters some Unclear how much on the scales, but I think most people have that kind of an interest. So is it wrong then, to 10 have these kinds of violent sexual fantasies about particular individuals 11 12 if you think that they would be 13 horrified that you had the fantasy? 14 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So no, and let 15 me handle it in two different ways. 16 is, do you have an interest in whether 17 or not a person thinks? I think the 18 answer to that is no. If I have a claim 19 against what someone -- what Mark thinks 2.0 about me, then Mark owes me a duty with 21 regard to what he does with his body or 2.2 his labor. But if he owes me a duty if 23 I have a claim against Mark with regard 24 to his body or labor, it seems that in 25 some sense I own his body or labor, but



		Page	45
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	I don't. So if it were to be the case		
3	that someone would have a right not to		
4	have the person think about them, it		
5	would seem that the thinker would in		
6	some sense own the person's body or		
7	labor. So that's why I don't think		
8	there's that wrong maker present.		
9	But let's leave that aside. Let's		
10	assume the issue is, does a person have		
11	an interest in this? It's a little hard		
12	to see how they have an interest in		
13	this. So let's go to kind of the		
14	standard accounts of interest. I think		
15	the best accounts.		
16	Does it set back Alice's pleasure?		
17	Well, she doesn't know about it doesn't		
18	set back a pleasure.		
19	Does it set back one of her		
20	objective list goods? Doesn't set back		
21	her autonomy, the amount of love in her		
22	life, her virtue or knowledge, things		
23	like that.		
24	Okay. So it doesn't set I		
25	think, the primary determinants actually		



		Page 46
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	it's only pleasure, but it might maybe	
3	it's two of those. It does set back her	
4	desire fulfillment theory. But I think	
5	desire fulfillment theory is clearly	
6	false. And you can see this in a couple	
7	of ways. It's very hard to see how the	
8	mere fulfillment of your desire,	
9	independent of you knowing about it,	
10	makes your life go better or better or	
11	worse.	
12	Second, this paradox case is what	
13	happens if I desire my life go poorly.	
14	I don't think desire for fulfillment can	
15	answer that. And then there's the time	
16	of the badness for me. If I desire	
17	something ten years from now and it	
18	happens, is it bad for me when the	
19	desire occurs? When it happens, does it	
20	backtrack? So I think desire	
21	fulfillment theory is a particularly bad	
22	theory of self interest. And because of	
23	that, I just don't think it sets back an	
24	interest of the person that's fantasized	
25	about.	



		Page 47
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	JASON WERBELOFF: So we might want	
3	to think about not just a particular	
4	person that you have a desire for, or a	
5	desire to harm. We might want to think	
6	about a class of persons. So one	
7	particularly thorny issue which has been	
8	around for decades but which has	
9	suddenly come to prominence is this idea	
10	of having preferences for or against	
11	certain racial groups. And enormous	
12	political, academic, philosophical	
13	discussion on this recently, and you've	
14	written on this as well, on a preference	
15	towards, for example, Asians. And that	
16	is seen by some as morally repugnant to	
17	fetishize a certain racial group,	
18	they'll say. Here, I'm not sure exactly	
19	what the reason is that they would say	
20	it's morally abominable. I'm guessing	
21	it's that they would say it's vicious.	
22	And so some of your responses will	
23	pertain here as well. But how do you	
24	grapple with that?	
25	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I'm glad you	



		Page	48
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	mentioned it. Actually it's quite an		
3	important debate. It's only recently		
4	got a lot of attention, particularly in		
5	the context of racial, ethnic, sexual		
6	preferences, I should say put the		
7	preference more generally. So take		
8	Asian romantic preference. Here are the		
9	preferences either for sex, dating or		
10	marriage. So it need not be sexual and		
11	also can be intra or intergroup and it		
12	can be intrinsic or extrinsic.		
13	So for example, it could be that		
14	young Korean men prefer young Korean		
15	women, okay? So that's intragroup.		
16	There people's intuitions seem to		
17	disappear and you think, okay, so young		
18	Jewish men in Israel have a real taste		
19	for young Jewish women. Okay. Well,		
20	people don't seem to get that upset with		
21	that. Same thing with regard to		
22	intragroup. And then the preferences		
23	might be extrinsic, right? It might be		
24	that the Korean man thinks, look, it's		
25	going to keep my sister, my mom and my		



		Page ·	49
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	grandmother happy if I'm dating a Korean		
3	woman. So I prefer a Korean woman to		
4	keep everyone off my back. But let's		
5	have the kind of fetishist account that		
6	people find most offensive, right?		
7	White men desiring Asian women, east		
8	Asian women more particularly, okay?		
9	And I should note that these preferences		
10	apparently are all over the place.		
11	They're not only those and apparently		
12	I've been told that they're quite strong		
13	preferences in the gay community. And		
14	so these things are not linked to sort		
15	of white men going after East Asian		
16	women. I should also mention that the		
17	studies here are not clear, but there's		
18	at least one study which suggests that		
19	white men don't have this preference.		
20	It's actually East Asian women's		
21	indifference between white men and East		
22	Asian men that's producing these		
23	effects.		
24	But again, let's assume that this		
25	fetish is there and it's strong, right?		



		Page 50
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	In the Ivy League, it certainly seemed	
3	to be a very common pattern to see, for	
4	example, Jewish men with East Asian	
5	women. Again, it's hard to see what the	
6	wrong maker is for the reasons I	
7	mentioned earlier. It's hard to see	
8	whose right is infringed.	
9	Okay, but that's straight.	
10	Imagine no, but it's degrading or it's	
11	exploitative, it's oppressive, it hurts	
12	someone's dignity. But it's a little	
13	hard to see why that's more true here	
14	than in general. Imagine that	
15	heterosexual men show a real preference	
16	for women with thin, tight bodies, perky	
17	breasts, pretty faces, things like that.	
18	Is that more dignified or less	
19	degrading, or you had to articulate it	
20	publicly. None of it sounds	
21	particularly good. So given that people	
22	have these preferences and the	
23	preferences don't themselves seem	
24	justified or unjustified, right. Why?	
25	What would justify a heterosexual rather	



		Page	51
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	_	
2	than a homosexual preference? Or what		
3	would justify a preference for a thin		
4	type runner female runner bodies rather		
5	than curvy Marilyn Monroe bodies? It's		
6	hard to see what would justify in these		
7	preferences.		
8	So there just doesn't seem to be a		
9	wrong maker here. Or if it is, it's not		
10	distinctive to Asian romantic		
11	preference. But let's consider		
12	consequentialism, because Jason's a		
13	consequentialist, and I'm highly		
14	sympathetic to consequentialism. It's a		
15	real notion it's a really bizarre		
16	notion this harms East Asian women.		
17	Here's an analogy. Imagine in the Ivy		
18	League, the women have something that		
19	I made this up Hebrew fever, right?		
20	And they really find Jewish men sexy,		
21	right? Particularly Ivy League Jewish		
22	men. Now, if you ask Jewish men if we		
23	could get rid of this preference or this		
24	desire among Ivy League undergraduate		
25	women, would you want this would you		



Page 52 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 want to be getting rid of they'd be 2 3 like, Absolutely not. Are you kidding? I want to ratchet it up and be more intense. Right. Because it gives you a competitive advantage. So you think, okay, well, then are East Asian women irrational? They don't like the strong dating advantage of 10 being fetishized? I very much doubt it, 11 right. If you said, okay, now you're 12 going to have the competitiveness of 13 women who are found unattractive for 14 various reasons, they think, well, no, 15 I think I'll go back to the thank you. 16 attractiveness category. 17 It might, in fact, be sort of 18 harmful to some non East Asian women, 19 that is their competitors. That might 2.0 be the person who is harmed on a general 21 or particularly our worry on a 2.2 consequential scale. And there we have 23 to okay, how do we balance out the harms 24 versus the benefits? But at least we're 25 being honest that we have to do a cost



		Page 53
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	benefit analysis.	
3	So there's no wrong maker on a	
4	consequentialist, at least no obvious	
5	one. And in fact, I don't think it	
6	harms East Asian women, I think it	
7	probably benefits them. And for the	
8	same reason, it's not bad. It has none	
9	of the distinguishing features of an	
10	intrinsically bad attitude. It's not	
11	false when you say, I find this type of	
12	woman attractive. That's a true	
13	statement of fact. You do. It doesn't	
14	involve some sort of lack of dessert	
15	satisfaction. So I think you're right.	
16	It probably has to break down to vice.	
17	So what would be the vice here?	
18	Is this a case of loving something	
19	that's evil or hating something that's	
20	good? Well, it doesn't involve hatred,	
21	so it's got to be loving something	
22	that's evil. It's hard to see what's	
23	evil about it. And even if it were like	
24	if you had some objective, it's hard to	
25	see what's different than this other	



Page 54 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 than liking thin women who have thin 3 runner like bodies. So the reason I think this matters is not just because you have this real disconnect between everyday people who think there's nothing wrong with this and academics who get bent out of shape finds incredibly offensive, what they 10 explain as disconnect. 11 But there are two implications of these racial and sexual preferences. 12 13 One is it shows that discrimination is 14 not wrong. If it's okay discriminate in 15 the case of sex or dating a marriage, 16 it's a little hard to see why it's wrong 17 to discriminate in other areas such as 18 employment or friendship. 19 Second, this shows you a using 2.0 kind of a Robert Nozick approach, a 21 justice in transfer way that you get to 2.2 very unequal wealth or income in a way 23 which doesn't infringe on rights. 24 Marriage is a huge economic benefit for 25 a lot of people. Being attractive is



Page 55 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 something that people pay a lot of money 3 It's something they value quite strongly. So if you were to convert this into economic terms, people might gain quite a benefit from being fetishized. So what this shows is this kind of strong argument for a perfectly just way to get the very unequal 10 results. 11 JASON WERBELOFF: Maybe the response is going to be something like 12 13 I, as an Asian woman, feel very hurt and 14 offended and that counts. So in other words, it's not that I 15 don't have sexual partners available to 16 17 me. So it's not that kind of harm and it's not the harm that I'm going to 18 19 suffer negative consequences in my life 2.0 other than my emotional hurt. Maybe 21 it's something like that. 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yeah, you might 23 think that the world of dating is 24 bouncing off emotional hurts, right? 25 people want you for the wrong reasons,



		Page	56
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	that hurtful. It's hurtful. If they		
3	don't want you at all, that's even more		
4	hurtful. You want to get that sweet		
5	balance so they want you for and only		
6	for the right reasons. Well, being a		
7	heterosexual male and talking to		
8	heterosexual males, I could say that		
9	males plenty of times, especially even		
10	with their wives when they were first		
11	dating, did not want them for the purest		
12	of reasons. So we're not in the land of		
13	like, virtuous desires here. Nor is it		
14	clear to me that emotional hurt is		
15	relevant here. You may be emotionally		
16	hurt by other people's attitudes, but		
17	that seems to be a statement about your		
18	psychology, not about any sort of moral		
19	feature. Take women who are		
20	discrimination against the obese is		
21	quite strong in our society in all sorts		
22	of levels. We can track it economically		
23	in terms of dating a marriage. We can		
24	track it in terms of economics, career		
25	advancement, things like that. And we		



Page 57 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 can track in terms of attitudes like the 2 3 halo effect. So you can imagine an obese woman 5 being very offended about her social invisibility, and I kind of sympathize with her, but it's hard to know what to do with that. Yeah, it's kind of sad that she's emotionally hurt, that she's 10 socially invisible and that people don't desire her and they don't ask her out, 11 and that they don't discuss her in 12 13 filthy ways in between, like wrestling 14 practice. 15 It's hard to see what to make of 16 that. Okay, that happens. It's 17 unfortunate. So what. 18 As far as Asian women saying they're emotionally hurt, I'm just 19 2.0 highly skeptical of this claim in that 21 if you said, okay, look, imagine God 22 came down and said, I'll tell you what, 23 tell you what, East Asian Women, you 24 secretly vote on this, and I'll 25 eliminate any preference that white men



		Page 58
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	have for you. And actually, I'm not	
3	even sure it's confined to white men,	
4	but we'll eliminate any preference,	
5	right? Any ordering that you have. Is	
6	that what you want? I suspect I say,	
7	Well, I'm hurt, but not that hurt. And	
8	by analogy, if you asked Jewish men in	
9	the Ivy League if God said the same	
10	thing, would you like me to get rid of	
11	Hebrew Fever? They'd say, Well, I'm not	
12	that hurt. Let's keep the competitive	
13	advantage going strong.	
14	So I guess two things. One, I'm	
15	not sure what to make of the emotional	
16	hurt. And two, that emotional hurt	
17	strikes me as irrational.	
18	MARK OPPENHEIMER: So it seems	
19	like the complaint could be to say	
20	you're treating me as a token for a	
21	type. So imagine you're lying in bed	
22	with your spouse and you're sort of	
23	whispering I love you to each other.	
24	And she says to you, Why do you love me?	
25	And you say, Well, it's because you're	



		Page	59
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	Asian. She says, but is it not because		
3	of the way I look after the kids and		
4	I've got all these other wonderful		
5	attributes that are unique to me?		
6	You're like, no, pretty much any other		
7	Asian woman could do. The thing that I		
8	only care about is the fact that you're		
9	from Southeast Asia and you're an Asian.		
10	And if some other woman popped into bed		
11	who met their criteria, that would be		
12	great for me and I would love them. All		
13	the other underlying stuff I don't care		
14	about at all. You might think that she		
15	then feet like, well, there's something		
16	dishonest in the nature of this		
17	relationship. I want to be valued not		
18	for this arbitrary attribute of where I		
19	was born, but for these other things		
20	that matter. I agree that you might		
21	have a weighting of preferences. In		
22	other words, if someone is to pick		
23	between a life of solitude or a life of		
24	being fetishized, maybe they pick being		
25	fetishized, but they might still think		



		Page	60
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	that they're worse off.		
3	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: This is just		
4	not kind of an accurate account of		
5	people's psychology, man. Take people		
6	who've been married for a long time,		
7	happily married, and you say, okay.		
8	When you were a 21 year old and walking		
9	around the cold and snowy campus of		
10	Cornell and you met this Jewish woman,		
11	was your goal was it to explore her		
12	intellect? Or was it your goal to take		
13	her to bed immediately and as thoroughly		
14	as you could? And you might think,		
15	well, these relationships start out		
16	sexual, not all them, but some of them		
17	do. It's hard to get around that. And		
18	so, yeah, I think it would be really		
19	unfortunate if your partner in life		
20	thought you as a replaceable cog. But I		
21	don't think that's an accurate		
22	psychology of what's going on here.		
23	By analogy with Hebrew fever, it's		
24	not like that would be the only		
25	consideration that the Ivy League women		



		Page	61
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	would have, but it would be a		
3	consideration. And I think that's		
4	what's going on there. It's actually		
5	even stronger, I think, some of the		
6	Asian fetishing, my guess is this is		
7	just a guess, I don't have any data		
8	support of this, but that's not actually		
9	solely based on sexuality. It's also		
10	based on something they're having better		
11	values than others, being more		
12	academically oriented than others, being		
13	more family oriented than others. I		
14	don't know if any of these things are		
15	true. Lots of our stereotypes are sort		
16	of inaccurate or even if they're		
17	accurate. There's plenty of exceptions		
18	both ways. But it's not even clear to		
19	me that these stereotypes of fetishizing		
20	is purely sexual.		
21	So I just don't think this track		
22	sort of has any sort of relation to the		
23	real world psychology of people		
24	considering sex, dating, and marriage.		
25	But there's a more theoretical issue		



		Pag	ie (52
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar			
2	here which is separate from this, which			
3	is to what degree do you love the person			
4	as opposed to the properties the person			
5	has? That is, would you love your			
6	wife's doppelganger as much as her?			
7	Well, most of us say, well, no, we would			
8	not. You love your wife. You would not			
9	love your wife's doppelganger. And that			
10	is an intrinsic self for self. And you			
11	think, okay, well, what would justify			
12	your loving A rather than B? It's very			
13	hard to fill that out in terms of			
14	theories of love. Some people say			
15	something like, well, it's your shared			
16	history, but of course you can get			
17	hypotheticals, which sort of equalize			
18	the shared history. So you'll have as			
19	much shared history with a doppelganger			
20	as your current wife, so that will even			
21	out. So it's kind of a theoretical			
22	issue. Do you love the person or do you			
23	love the collection of properties of the			
24	person bears? And if it's the latter,			
25	what's wrong with duplicate replacement?			



		Page 63
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	There's a deep problem in love theory,	
3	but it's not a problem with East Asian	
4	fetishizing, our preferences for that.	
5	So, yeah, I find this one of these	
6	issues that there's just nothing there.	
7	There's no there. There's no wrong	
8	maker. There's no bad maker. There's	
9	no vice maker. And yet people get	
10	really upset over it. And it also	
11	strong implications for both	
12	discrimination and inequality.	
13	JASON WERBELOFF: I find this	
14	discussion fascinating because exactly	
15	this contrast between such strong	
16	intuitions, such deep seated emotional	
17	responses to these issues. But when you	
18	probe, it's really not clear what the	
19	wrong maker is. And so it seems strange	
20	to have such strong emotional responses	
21	to such intellectually unclear	
22	positions. Perhaps one way of looking	
23	at it is not that the strong emotional	
24	response is linked to a false belief.	
25	Perhaps one way of thinking about it is	
1		



		Page	64
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	that the strong emotional response is an		
3	evolutionary response. So perhaps		
4	certain activities today are okay, but		
5	weren't in our evolutionary past. When		
6	I say okay, I don't mean morally okay,		
7	don't have the kind of negative		
8	consequences that perhaps we thought		
9	they would waive had in the past.		
10	By the way, I share some of your		
11	conclusions, but not other conclusions,		
12	but I share most of your premises. So		
13	it's very interesting to me to play this		
14	game in my own head of where my		
15	intuition is coming from. But I like		
16	this evolutionary explaining away of		
17	intuitions generally in philosophy as an		
18	approach.		
19	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: So I think		
20	that's an excellent point and I actually		
21	think what's going on we look at this is		
22	kind of a study of speed dating where		
23	sort of women have in group preferences.		
24	Men didn't seem to, but the women seem		
25	to have in group preferences. And you		



		Page 65
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	could imagine why that is, right? Sort	
3	of an intact marriage and intact	
4	community probably is better off in	
5	terms of providing the resources. And	
6	we can tell these sort of evolutionary	
7	stories that explain these preferences.	
8	One of the problems with these	
9	explanations is it doesn't get it, I	
10	think a lot of the outrage that we see	
11	today.	
12	So I'll just give you a few	
13	examples. People are pretty outraged at	
14	the notion that people would be	
15	attracted to teenage girls, teenage	
16	women.	
17	In evolutionary terms, that makes	
18	no sense. In evolutionary terms, that's	
19	precisely who you want to be attracted	
20	to because they have high degree of	
21	fertility, many more years of fertility.	
22	So it only explains that outrage.	
23	People are outraged when you're opposed	
24	to affirmative action. It's a little	
25	hard to see why that would be	



		Page	66
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	evolutionarily valuable because what		
3	you're doing is you're benefiting		
4	individuals to affirmative action that		
5	are genetically distant from the people		
6	who are providing the benefits, or at		
7	least a good deal of the people who are		
8	providing the benefits. And even in		
9	terms of the fetishizing of East Asian		
10	women, it's hard to see what the		
11	evolutionary explanation there is as a		
12	woman, or as a man for that matter. The		
13	great of the great to which you're		
14	desired, the more likely that you're		
15	going to reproduce and the more likely		
16	that you can get a better partner. The		
17	more demand there is for your supply,		
18	the better the price you're going to		
19	get.		
20	So in evolutionary terms, it's		
21	hard to see why any of these things		
22	would generate the sort of intuitions we		
23	have. Either the attraction to		
24	teenagers, affirmative action, Asian		
25	romantic preference, pretty much any of		



Page 67 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 the other things we've discussed. 2 3 JASON WERBELOFF: The thought came to me when I was thinking about adult child sex rather than teenagers. STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Sure. JASON WERBELOFF: There isn't an evolutionary advantage to having that sexual contact. There might be an 10 evolutionary disadvantage. So maybe 11 that's where my thought was. But as you start to apply to these other cases, 12 13 it's not as clear. 14 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Well, let me address the evolution and adult child 15 16 sex. And again, I'm speculating here, 17 but it's not obvious to me there aren't 18 evolutionary advantages. And here's the 19 reasons to think there are evolutionary 2.0 advantages. One is when you test 21 college age males surprisingly number 2.2 surprising numbers, like over one fifth 23 show attraction to prepubescent 24 individuals. I assume that's mostly 25 girls. And that's true not only when



		Page	68
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar		
2	you ask them, they self report it, which		
3	people have got to be hasn't self		
4	reported, but when you use the penile		
5	responses, which are the kind of true		
6	test. So it's fairly widespread among		
7	young men, particularly young men in our		
8	society. Second, you see in the hunter		
9	gatherers, you see it in all sorts of		
10	cultures that are quite different than		
11	our own in places like New Guinea and		
12	things like that, Melanesia. And third,		
13	you see it historically right? It was		
14	true in adult child sex true in ancient		
15	Japan, ancient China, India, Egypt, even		
16	Great Britain. And you see in our		
17	closest cousins of bonobos. Bonobos are		
18	the closest to us genetically.		
19	So if you see this same preference		
20	for adult child sex in young males		
21	today, in hunter gatherer societies		
22	today, in history, and our closest		
23	genetic cousins, there's excellent		
24	reason to believe not excellent, but		
25	there is good reason to believe that		



Page 69 Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar 1 2 there's actually a strong benefit to 3 adult child sex. Now, what is that benefit? 5 not entirely sure. It might be that people getting acclimated to sexuality or they're getting prepared for a long term bond, or it might be something It might be that you're bonding 10 families together. I don't know exactly 11 what the explanation is. But I would 12 claim that in evolutionary terms, as 13 best we can determine, it's more likely 14 than not there's a strong evolutionary 15 case for adult child sex and for the 16 attraction that brings it about. 17 MARK OPPENHEIMER: So I've got a 18 general question, which is assume that 19 you have consent and of the sufficient 2.0 level between people, are there any sex 21 acts that you think are wrong? 2.2 STEPHEN KERSHNAR: And here's No. 23 The idea is that -- leaving aside 24 catastrophic consequential overrides if 25 having sexism causes vast amounts of



		Page 70
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	civil war, okay, that overrides the	
3	deontological considerations. But the	
4	idea is that if A acts wrongly, then A	
5	wrongs B, right. So you wrong, you	
6	wrong someone. If you wrong someone,	
7	you fail to satisfy duty, you owe that	
8	person. You fail to satisfy duty, owe	
9	that person, you infringe on a claim of	
10	that person. A right is just a claim.	
11	So if you act wrong, then you've	
12	infringed on someone's rights. So if	
13	you haven't infringed on someone's	
14	rights, there's no wrong act, again,	
15	without the consequential override. So	
16	no, I think and if you have valid	
17	consent, there's no right infringement.	
18	MARK OPPENHEIMER: So some people	
19	have concerns about certain kinds of	
20	sexual behavior because they think it's	
21	deviant. So BDSM, scat play,	
22	homosexuality, group sex, all these	
23	things which where you've got consent	
24	and you don't have a rights violation,	
25	people nonetheless feel that something	



		Page 71
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	immoral has occurred. Are they just	
3	mistaken?	
4	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: Yes. So I do	
5	think it's deviant because I understand	
6	deviant sex to be statistically	
7	abnormal. It's hard to see again,	
8	there's no right infringement. So if	
9	there's no right infringement, it's hard	
10	to see what's wrong about it.	
11	Someone might think it's wrong	
12	because you're harming yourself or	
13	you're harming others. I don't think	
14	that harm is a wrong maker in that we	
15	wrong people all the time. You win out	
16	in economic competitions, the loser is	
17	harmed. You win Alice's hand in	
18	marriage and Bob is heartbroken. You've	
19	harmed Bob.	
20	So I don't think harm is a wrong	
21	maker, but it's not clear to me that	
22	these things are even harmful. I don't	
23	see that S&M sex or homosexual sex, or	
24	group sex, or scat play. Again, I guess	
25	it depends on part of the problem is	



		Page 72
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	the counterfactual, right? If	
3	individuals were not engaging in this,	
4	what else would they be doing? Would	
5	they be engaging in sex with even in	
6	more intense orgasms, would they be	
7	reading Aristotle? So I guess it	
8	depends on how the counterfactual works.	
9	But I guess I think there's no right	
10	infringement. So there's no wrong maker	
11	there. And even though it's harmful, I	
12	very much doubt that counterfactually,	
13	they'd be doing something which would be	
14	significantly benefiting them more if	
15	they were not engaging in this. So I'm	
16	skeptical about even the harm claim.	
17	MARK OPPENHEIMER: I think if we	
18	took those pleasures away from Jason,	
19	it's not like they'd be doing more	
20	philosophy. He'd probably just be	
21	really grumpy and be a much worse	
22	philosopher.	
23	JASON WERBELOFF: I can attest to	
24	that. Not all those pleasures, not all	
25	pleasures I indulge in, but when I don't	



		Page 73
1	Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar	
2	indulge in the pleasures I need to	
3	indulge in, I'm very grumpy and I can't	
4	do good philosophy.	
5	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: With what	
6	pleasure itself counts? People say,	
7	well, all right, so you got pleasure out	
8	of that, but what did it do to elevate	
9	your	
10	JASON WERBELOFF: No, I think it's	
11	good in and of itself. I'm a hedonistic	
12	utilitarian. I think that hedons count.	
13	STEPHEN KERSHNAR: I 100% agree	
14	with you. Yeah. So that's my view. In	
15	fact, my view the other things count	
16	because they lead to headons, but even	
17	that weren't true still, pleasure counts	
18	for quite a bit.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



```
Page 74
          Sexual Taboos Stephen Kershnar
1
                CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     STATE OF NEW YORK )
 4
                          SS
 5
     COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
7
                 I, Marissa Mignano, a Notary
     Public within and for the State of New York,
     do hereby certify the within is a
     a true and accurate transcription of the
10
11
     audiotapes recorded.
12
               I further certify that I am
13
    not related to any of the parties to this
14
     action by blood or marriage, and that I am
     in no way interested in the outcome of this
15
16
     matter.
17
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
18
    hereunto set my hand this 12TH day of MAY
     2023.
19
2.0
                           Marissa Mignano
21
2.2
                          MARISSA MIGNANO
23
24
25
```



	1	1	1
A	addition	6:15,17 7:19	26:21 55:8
able	22:15,20 41:8	amount	arguments
6:3	additional	22:14 36:14 45:21	13:25
abnormal	35:16	amounts	Aristotle
71:7	address	69:25	72:7
abominable	26:18 33:16 67:15	analogy	articulate
38:8 47:20	adult	51:17 58:8 60:23	50:19
absolutely	2:10,20 8:25 11:24	analysis	articulating
28:22 40:12 42:4	21:6,14 26:2 30:5	24:4 53:2	16:5
52:3	38:21 67:4,15 68:14	analyst	artistry
abstract	68:20 69:3,15	31:14,15	42:16
43:10,11	advancement	ancient	Asia
academic	56:25	27:22 28:3 68:14,15	59:9
47:12	advantage	anecdotally	Asian
academically	33:11 52:6,9 58:13	21:23	48:8 49:7,8,15,20,22
61:12	67:8	answer	50:4 51:10,16 52:8
academics	advantages	29:24 44:18 46:15	52:18 53:6 55:13
54:8	67:18,20	antipathy	57:18,23 59:2,7,9
acclimated	affect	36:18	61:6 63:3 66:9,24
69:6	39:6	anyway	Asians
account	affirmative	18:15	47:15
17:4 34:23 39:21	65:24 66:4,24	apparently	aside
40:17,21 49:5 60:4	age	49:10,11	5:20,22,24,25 37:16
accounts	6:19 8:24 10:3 31:13	appeal	43:22,23 45:9 69:23
17:12 41:10,21 45:14	32:2,7 67:21	35:19	asked
45:15	ages	apply	58:8
accurate	27:13	67:12	assume
60:4,21 61:17 74:10	aggravated	approach	16:19 45:10 49:24
act	17:9,10	15:3 28:17 29:3	67:24 69:18
3:15 11:18 21:3	agree	54:20 64:18	assuming
37:22 38:2,10 43:8	8:4,8 12:11 18:23	appropriately	2:23
70:11,14	26:5 29:11 59:20	39:23	atrocious
action	73:13	arbitrary	42:8
23:6,11 25:7,8 36:23	ahead	59:18	attention
65:24 66:4,24 74:14	30:17	arbitrators	7:5 48:4
activities	Alice	5:16	attest
4:19 13:13 28:16	27:12	area	72:23
64:4	Alice's	30:8	attitude
activity	45:16 71:17	areas	39:20,24 53:10
10:20 11:16,22 13:19	aligned	54:17	attitudes
16:12 18:8	39:23	arguably	33:18 42:23,24 56:16
acts	allow	7:22	57:2
39:6 69:21 70:4	9:25 34:12,12,13	argue	attracted
actual	America	3:7 11:17	65:15,19
25:7 29:20	5:11	argument	attraction
	American	7:25 11:9 12:23	66:23 67:23 69:16
i .	1	i	1



			rage 2
	4 0 6 10 00 10 00	l	60.10
attractive	4:2 6:13 28:12,23	better	59:19
53:12 54:25	based	23:8 46:10,10 61:10	bouncing
attractiveness	20:2,2 34:3 35:22	65:4 66:16,18	55:24
52:16	61:9,10	beyond	bound
attribute	basis	9:17 32:14	33:22
59:18	30:21	big	boys
attributes	bat	24:9	9:6
59:5	4:3,23	Bill	Brain
AUDIO	battery	19:21	1:9 2:3
1:7,8	17:9,10,19	bit	break
audiotapes	BDSM	25:21 43:6 73:18	53:16
74:11	70:21	bite	breasts
autonomy	bear	15:7	50:17
14:8 18:2,13,19,23	30:19	biting	bride
19:11 45:21	bears	33:6	19:21
available	62:24	bizarre	brings
55:16	bed	51:15	69:16
	11:4 58:21 59:10	bizarro	Britain
В	60:13	7:7	68:16
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	begs	blame	Bruce
62:12 70:5	14:20,20 41:2	23:16 24:16	21:12
baby	behavior	blameworthiness	brush
9:6 11:15	70:20	23:13 24:13	11:3
back	beings	blameworthy	brutality
14:2 17:23,24 45:16	36:6		42:17
45:18,19,20 46:3,23		23:14,18 24:14,15,20 24:23	
49:4 52:15	belief	_	bullets
backtrack	63:24	blanket	15:8 33:6
46:20	believe	9:17 10:3 22:19	burden
40.20 bad	9:11 40:16 41:15,23	blood	30:19
	68:24,25	74:14	burglary
13:24 14:4,10,11,23	Benatar	Bob	17:11,11,20
15:25 16:2 19:20	10:5 15:8	71:18,19	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$
21:3 26:9,10,12	Benatar's	bodies	
30:11,15 31:23 39:9	12:9 15:2	50:16 51:4,5 54:3	C
39:11 41:15 42:15	benefit	body	74:2,2
46:18,21 53:8,10	36:13 53:2 54:24	17:22 32:4,19 33:10	calculation
63:8	55:6 69:2,4	44:21,24,25 45:6	28:21
badness	benefiting	bold	calm
46:16	66:3 72:14	24:25	9:6
balance	benefits	bond	campus
22:21 52:23 56:5	52:24 53:7 66:6,8	69:8	60:9
ballet	bent	bonding	capture
6:4	54:8	69:9	5:18
ban	best	bonobos	care
22:20 30:17	17:12 28:15,22 29:21	68:17,17	59:8,13
bar	45:15 69:13	born	career
	1	I 	l



	1	I	I
56:24	children	college	conjure
cartoon	3:21 4:19 6:2,9,14	67:21	43:7
38:20	11:2 23:23 40:23	coma	consent
case	China	16:9,18 18:9	4:9 5:5,19,20,21,24
5:3 7:24 18:9,16,25	68:15	comas	5:24 6:7,19 8:3,6
22:23 23:15,21	chocolate	16:16 18:11	15:23 19:25 32:24
24:19 25:24 32:11	10:10	comatose	36:10 37:2,17 69:19
40:3 43:10,12 45:2	citing	18:20 19:3,6,15	70:17,23
46:12 53:18 54:15	36:22	come	consented
69:15	civil	7:4 47:9	4:16 31:16
cases	70:2	coming	consequence
3:5,7 7:7 15:5 16:6	claim	64:15	20:15
22:18 32:17 67:12	4:17 12:20 13:3,4	commit	consequences
casual	20:21 21:10 35:22	15:6 17:7,8,10	3:13 16:21 20:5,8,19
10:7,22 12:2,16 15:6	42:25 44:18,23	committed	20:22,25 21:13 22:6
15:16 16:3	57:20 69:12 70:9,10	10:19	22:8 25:7,9,10
catastrophic	72:16	common	30:12,16 32:15 33:3
69:24	claims	28:16 29:3 50:3	37:5 55:19 64:8
category	27:5 34:8 36:3,7	commune	consequential
14:24 52:16	class	31:25	52:22 69:24 70:15
causes	27:15,17,20 28:7	community	consequentialism
69:25	47:6	49:13 65:4	26:24 51:12,14
celebration	classes	compared	consequentialist
32:3	6:6 28:25	28:15	51:13 53:4
ceremony	clear	compete	consequentialists
6:12	3:5 4:8,24 6:8 18:19	39:12	28:20
certain	22:5 34:9 35:25	competitions	consider 39:15 51:11
6:6,6 10:15 11:4 22:13 31:12 40:13	37:3 39:10 49:17	71:16	consideration
47:11,17 64:4 70:19	56:14 61:18 63:18 67:13 71:21	competitive 52:6 58:12	60:25 61:3
certainly	clearly		considerations
50:2	41:13 46:5	competitiveness 52:12	70:3
certify	closest	competitors	considered
74:9,12	68:17,18,22	52:19	42:8
change	co-wrongdoer	complaint	considering
5:10	32:22	58:19	61:24
character	coddle	component	contact
37:9 38:9	11:21	39:8	67:9
child	cog	concerns	context
2:20 7:13 8:25 11:13	60:20	70:19	18:6,11 48:5
11:21,25 20:19 21:3	cold	conclusions	contingent
21:6,14 30:5 32:22	60:9	64:11,11	31:6,8
38:21 67:5,15 68:14	colicky	condemn	contradiction
68:20 69:3,15	9:7	30:20	12:7
child's	collection	confined	contrast
6:11	62:23	58:3	63:15
		50.5	"""



controversial	42:21	13:9 50:10,19	45:25
21:16	culture	degree	determine
convert	9:10	17:24 62:3 65:20	69:13
55:4	cultures	delighted	determined
convicted	9:5 68:10	2:3	29:22
7:8,13	current	deluded	deviant
Cornell	62:20	31:17	70:21 71:5,6
60:10	curvy	demand	different
corollary	51:5	66:17	4:17 5:13,14 10:6
37:23	cut	denies	13:16 33:21 44:15
correct	42:15	25:2	53:25 68:10
15:3 27:16 34:23		deny	difficult
correspond	D	20:20	12:7 19:23
40:13	dark	denying	dignified
cost	20:23	25:16	50:18
52:25	data	deontological	dignity
count	26:3 61:7	70:3	50:12
73:12,15	dating	deontology	directed
counterfactual	48:9 49:2 52:9 54:15	36:25	40:24 41:6
72:2,8	55:23 56:11,23	depend	directing
counterfactually	61:24 64:22	29:20 32:10 41:11	41:6
72:12	David	depending	directly
country	10:4 12:9	5:10 6:18	23:10 34:20 39:14,15
6:5	day	depends	disadvantage
counts	33:25 74:18	28:3 71:25 72:8	67:10
55:14 73:6,17	deal	Derek	disagree
COUNTY	66:7	27:19	3:2 36:15
74:5	debate	deserted	disappear
couple	21:17 48:3	14:6	48:17
8:20 15:5 23:3 38:24	decades	deserve	disapproval
46:6	47:8	14:5	22:15 34:3
course	decide	desire	disconnect
41:17 62:16	28:14	40:24 41:6,17 46:4,5	54:6,10
cousins	deemed	46:8,13,14,16,19,20	discovered
68:17,23	5:7	47:4,5 51:24 57:11	21:14
criminalize	deep	desired	discriminate
30:18	31:20 63:2,16	66:14	54:14,17
criminalized	deeply	desires	discrimination
2:16	2:14	56:13	54:13 56:20 63:12
criteria	Deer	desiring	discuss
59:11	42:18	40:22 41:14 49:7	37:5 57:12
criterion	defend	dessert	discussed
35:18	30:4	53:14	67:2
cross	degradation	determinant	discussion
5:12 6:5	35:19,22	35:17	33:7 47:13 63:14
	degrading	determinants	
cruelty	ucgi auilig	determinants	disgusting



38:14,15,16,17	53:6 57:23 63:3	7:5	40:15,16,25 41:4,5
dishonest	66:9	engage	41:7,15 53:19,22,23
59:16	eating	3:14,17 4:19 5:5 21:2	evolution
disproportionate	10:9	25:25 32:21	67:15
39:25	economic	engaged	evolutionarily
disrupt	54:24 55:5 71:16	21:25 28:13 31:12	66:2
14:5	economically	engaging	evolutionary
distant	56:22	10:11 30:21 72:3,5	64:3,5,16 65:6,17,18
66:5	economics	72:15	66:11,20 67:8,10,18
distinct	56:24	enjoy	67:19 69:12,14
4:6 17:5	effect	16:15 29:16	exactly
distinctive	57:3	enjoyment	19:17 38:12 47:18
18:6 19:5 51:10	effects	11:13	63:14 69:10
distinguish	49:23	enjoys	example
13:20	Egypt	20:12	4:21 5:11 24:6 28:24
distinguishing	27:22 28:3 68:15	enormous	41:21 47:15 48:13
53:9	Egyptology	21:17 36:14,17 41:25	50:4
district	27:19 28:8	47:11	examples
5:10	eight	entirely	42:13 65:13
doing	8:24	69:5	excellent
11:7,20 23:14,17	either	equalize	17:3 26:17 33:15
66:3 72:4,13,19	14:3 17:21 18:2	62:17	42:12 64:20 68:23
doppelganger	39:21 48:9 66:23	escaping	68:24
62:6,9,19	elevate	29:4	exceptions
doubt	73:8	esotericism	61:17
14:12 52:10 72:12	eliminate	31:4	expect
draw	57:25 58:4	especially	31:22
33:13	emotional	6:10 56:9	expected
dress	55:20,24 56:14 58:15	establish	22:24 30:11,11
19:8	58:16 63:16,20,23	41:5	experience
driven	64:2	estimate	16:8 26:2,5,8 37:12
33:9	emotionally	6:25	experiment
duplicate	56:15 57:9,19	ethics	2:8
62:25	emphasizing	10:6	explain
duty	36:5	ethnic	10:23 15:17 17:19
13:2,3 44:20,22 70:7	empirical	48:5	19:2 21:6 36:22
70:8	20:20 21:9	evaluate	54:10 65:7
	empirically	25:5	explaining
<u>E</u>	29:18,19	eventuates	18:7 36:24 64:16
E	employment	38:10	explains
74:2,2	54:18	everybody	65:22
earlier	enacting	43:25	explanation
15:22 50:7	37:21	everyday	66:11 69:11
east	endure	54:6	explanations
33:20 49:7,15,20,21	11:6	evil	65:9
50:4 51:16 52:8,18	enforcement	39:22,25 40:4,7,9,12	exploitation
	1	I	ı



35:20,23	fan	fetishized	27:10 33:17
exploitative	26:20	52:10 55:7 59:24,25	Fredonia
13:10,15 50:11	fantasies	fetishizing	2:5
exploited	38:20 41:22,24 42:2	61:19 63:4 66:9	free
32:23	42:5 44:11	fever	35:2,4,9 36:6
explore	fantasized	51:19 58:11 60:23	freely
60:11	46:24	fifth	32:24
exploring	fantasy	67:22	friends
2:19	40:22 43:6,23 44:13	FILE	34:4
extent	far	1:8	friendship
15:18 16:16 17:16	13:15 18:9 19:24	fill	54:18
externalist	34:5,19 41:8 57:18	17:14 19:22 62:13	fulfillment
40:21 41:9	fascinating	filthy	46:4,5,8,14,21
extra	42:19 63:14	57:13	full
	favor	37:13 find	36:10 42:15
28:25			
extraordinarily	15:11	16:10 19:9 30:2,4	fully
24:2	feature	31:7 38:14,15 49:6	4:25
extremely	14:13 24:17 56:19	51:20 53:11 63:5,13	function
16:25	features	finds	13:9 26:25 35:15
extrinsic	53:9	16:18 43:19 54:9	fundamental
48:12,23	federal	fine	2:22 17:21
F	7:13	41:18 42:5,22,24	fundamentally
	feel	first	2:25
F	55:13 70:25	4:21 17:3 21:9,10	funny
74:2	feet	44:4 56:10	19:7
faces	59:15	Floor	further
50:17	feldian	1:11	15:5 74:12
fact	13:4	focused	
2:17 23:21 29:18	fellating	19:25	<u> </u>
43:22 52:17 53:5,13	9:6	follow	gain
59:8 73:15	felt	7:6	55:6
facts	32:6	force	game
28:3 31:6 32:11	female	15:19	64:14
fail	51:4	forced	gatherer
13:16 70:7,8	females	41:22	68:21
fairly	21:21	formal	gatherers
68:6	fertility	12:23	68:9
falling	65:21,21	former	gay
16:20	fetish	40:3	33:19,21 49:13
false	16:14 49:25	found	general
12:14 46:6 53:11	fetishing	52:13	3:22 12:3 22:6 34:11
63:24	61:6	four-year	50:14 52:20 69:18
families	fetishist	6:22	generally
69:10	49:5	fraction	17:7 26:22 48:7
family	fetishize	7:4	64:17
6:12 34:4 61:13	47:17	framing	generate
	İ	1	



			
66:22	7:19 11:8 14:14,15	gut	71:12,13
genetic	16:13 20:13 26:23	36:20	harms
68:23	26:24 27:2 28:6	guy	51:16 52:23 53:6
genetically	29:24 30:11,15	42:15	harsh
66:5 68:18	36:24 37:11 39:9,22		30:19
getting	39:24 40:4 41:19	H	harshly
4:9 52:2 69:6,7	42:3,8 50:21 53:20	half	6:24
girl	66:7 68:25 73:4,11	41:22 42:2	Harvard's
2:11 27:24 28:2	goods	halo	27:19
girls	14:7 45:20	57:3	hating
27:13,13 65:15 67:25	gotten	hand	39:22,24 40:4 53:19
give	25:13 41:8	36:21 71:17 74:18	hatred
8:3,6 15:5 42:13	governments	handle	40:5 53:20
65:12	5:8	44:15	Hawani
given	grained	happened	33:7
32:24 50:21	41:18 42:5,22,24	31:18,24	head
gives	grandma	happening	24:18 41:12,13 64:14
35:17 52:5	11:7	21:2 26:12	headons
giving	grandmother	happens	73:16
5:19	11:19,19 49:2	46:13,18,19 57:16	hearing
glad	grandmothers	happily	12:10
42:14,19 47:25	9:5,11	60:7	heartbroken
go	grapple	happy	71:18
6:3,11 11:4,5,5 15:20	47:24	49:2	hebephilia
23:8,12 24:12 27:3	great	hard	22:4
30:13,17 31:14	12:9 21:8 36:13	9:14 10:23 12:21	Hebrew
37:15 40:20 45:13	59:12 66:13,13	13:11,20,25 14:9	51:19 58:11 60:23
46:10,13 52:15	68:16	15:17 18:16 19:17	hedonistic
goal	greater	30:4 35:7 45:11	73:11
8:9 60:11,12	17:24 18:3	46:7 50:5,7,13 51:6	hedons
God	group	53:22,24 54:16 57:7	73:12
57:21 58:9	47:17 64:23,25 70:22	57:15 60:17 62:13	held
goes	71:24	65:25 66:10,21 71:7	2:13 43:16
24:16 37:9	groups	71:9	hereunto
going	47:11	Hark's	74:18
2:6 4:13 11:22 16:22	grumpy	39:20	heterosexual
19:14,20 20:7,10,11	72:21 73:3	harm	50:15,25 56:7,8
22:16,19 25:4 30:15	guess	16:8 29:17 47:5	high
31:5,8,14,15,20	9:16 14:22 24:4,11	55:17,18 71:14,20	5:4 6:13 24:2,3 31:13
32:10,12,13,15	29:2 34:22 41:16	72:16	37:14 65:20
33:22 38:24 44:4	58:14 61:6,7 71:24	harmed	highly
48:25 49:15 52:12	72:7,9	52:20 71:17,19	21:16 26:17 27:24
55:12,18 58:13	guessing	harmful	31:10 41:9 51:13
60:22 61:4 64:21	47:20	34:2,3 52:18 71:22	57:20
66:15,18	Guinea	72:11	hippie
good	68:11	harming	31:25
	1	ı	ı



historically	image	36:20 54:9	40:2
68:13	43:8	independent	intentions
history	imagination	2:15 14:14 19:10	9:23
62:16,18,19 68:22	38:3	46:9	interaction
hold	imagine	India	21:7
11:25 26:14	2:9,11 12:15 16:9	68:15	interest
holds	18:20 31:10 41:14	indifference	6:11 18:2,13,18,23
43:20	43:10,13,14 50:10	49:21	19:11 43:16,20,21
homosexual	50:14 51:17 57:4,21	individual	44:9,16 45:11,12,14
51:2 71:23	58:21 65:2	14:3	46:22,24
homosexuality	imagines	individual's	interested
70:22	43:11	35:5	9:19 74:15
honest	imagining	individuals	interesting
52:25	43:18	29:10 44:11 66:4	12:11 30:2 64:13
hook	immediately	67:24 72:3	interference
31:4	60:13	indulge	17:25
horrendous	immoral	72:25 73:2,3	intergroup
26:8 29:12 42:21	71:2	inequality	48:11
horrified	impact	63:12	internalism
43:17 44:13	33:23	informed	40:19
hour	impasse	5:4	internalist
11:4	25:17	infringe	24:17 40:17,19
huge	impermissible	13:6 54:23 70:9	interpretations
27:5,6,8 29:6,7 54:24	8:7	infringed	43:3
hundred	implausible	17:16,17 50:8 70:12	interracial
5:12	27:25	70:13	22:12
hunter	implications 10:21 15:10 54:11	infringement 12:18,22 13:7 70:17	intra 48:11
42:18 68:8,21 hurt	63:11	71:8,9 72:10	intragroup
55:13,20 56:14,16	important	infringes	48:15,22
57:9,19 58:7,7,12	48:3	13:2	intrinsic
58:16,16	in-principle	initial	48:12 62:10
hurtful	32:13	3:2	intrinsically
56:2,2,4	inaccurate	inside	26:23 53:10
hurts	61:16	24:18 41:13	introduces
50:11 55:24	incapable	instances	28:7
hypotheticals	16:19	7:2	intuition
62:17	includes	intact	16:23 64:15
	40:4	65:3,3	intuitions
I	income	intellect	28:18 48:16 63:16
idea	54:22	60:12	64:17 66:22
4:24 14:17 30:17	inconsistent	intellectually	invisibility
38:5 47:9 69:23	7:15,21	63:21	57:6
70:4	incorrect	intense	invisible
identity	27:16	52:5 72:6	57:10
17:15	incredibly	intensity	involve
	i -	l	I



40:5,7,8 53:14,20	2:4	60:3 61:1 62:1 63:1	23:16
involved	jolly	64:1,19 65:1 66:1	law
22:3 40:7	16:13	67:1,6,14 68:1 69:1	6:15,17 7:5,20 8:18
involves	Jones	69:22 70:1 71:1,4	laws
		72:1 73:1,5,13 74:1	
39:21,23	27:23,25		5:9 6:20
irrational	judo		lead
52:8 58:17	4:22 13:17 34:14	3:25	73:16
Israel	Juliet	kidding	League
48:18	6:20	52:3	50:2 51:18,21,24
issue	justice	kids	58:9 60:25
14:20 17:3,6 18:10	54:21	59:3	learn
27:9,17,18 37:17,25	justified	Kill	11:9
38:5 45:10 47:7	18:22 50:24	19:21	learns
61:25 62:22	justifies	kind	20:13
issues	19:13	6:13,16 7:24 16:4	leave
28:11 33:17 37:3	justify	21:12,19 25:2 31:21	45:9
63:6,17	19:14 25:15 26:13	32:6 43:7 44:8	leaving
It'd	50:25 51:3,6 62:11	45:13 49:5 54:20	69:23
42:8	K	55:8,17 57:6,8 60:4	legal
Ithaca		62:21 64:7,22 68:5	1:10 7:11
33:21	Kant	kinds	legislation
Ivy	34:24,24	16:6 32:17 44:10	30:8
50:2 51:17,21,24	Kantian	70:19	LENGTH
58:9 60:25	35:11	kisses	1:8
- J	keep	11:6	lessen
	37:13 48:25 49:4	know	14:6,7
Jacket	58:12	9:8,13 20:9 29:7,17	lessons
42:16	Kershnar	29:19 30:10,14,23	4:3,3
Japan	1:9 2:1,4,9 3:1,18 4:1	30:25 35:11 38:15	let's
68:15	5:1,23 6:1 7:1 8:1	41:3 43:18 45:17	8:3,22,24 18:24 28:9
Jason	8:19 9:1 10:1 11:1	57:7 61:14 69:10	28:10 31:11 39:14
2:23 7:23 19:24	12:1,8 13:1 14:1	knowing	39:14 40:3,20 41:12
24:24 29:23 31:4	15:1,13 16:1 17:1,2	46:9	45:9,9,13 49:4,24
32:9 36:16 47:2	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1	knowledge	51:11 58:12
55:11 63:13 67:3,7	21:8 22:1 23:1 24:1	3:12 14:9 45:22	level
72:18,23 73:10	25:1 26:1,16 27:1	Korean	5:4,16 10:15,17
Jason's	28:1 29:1 30:1,7	48:14,14,24 49:2,3	11:12,14 69:20
51:12	31:1 32:1 33:1,14		levels
Jewish	34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1	L	56:22
48:18,19 50:4 51:20	38:1,11 39:1 40:1	labor	liberty
51:21,22 58:8 60:10	41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1	44:22,24,25 45:7	30:13
job	44:14 45:1 46:1	lack	life
1:25 36:24	47:1,25 48:1 49:1	53:14	14:8 20:15 21:4
join	50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1	land	45:22 46:10,13
28:13	54:1 55:1,22 56:1	56:12	55:19 59:23,23
joined	57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1	large	60:19
1	I	ļ	



likelihood	14:8 40:11,15 45:21	2:2 5:2 10:4 15:4,14	9:24 24:20
31:13	58:23,24 59:12 62:3	31:3 43:5 44:19,20	mention
liking	62:5,8,9,14,22,23	44:23 58:18 69:17	49:16
54:2	63:2	70:18 72:17	mentioned
limiting	loving	marriage	10:25 15:21 36:17
7:24	39:22,24 40:4,7,8	48:10 54:15,24 56:23	48:2 50:7
lines	53:18,21 62:12	61:24 65:3 71:18	mere
33:13 35:24	low	74:14	46:8
linked	26:4,11	married	merely
49:14 63:24	lying	10:18 60:6,7	34:21 36:12
list	58:21	match	met
45:20		13:17,17	59:11 60:10
little	M	math	Metal
12:23 13:11 18:16	MAGNA	28:25	42:16
19:17 25:20 26:9	1:10	matter	Middle
45:11 50:12 54:16	major	23:20 59:20 66:12	33:20
65:24	39:8	74:16	Mignano
live	maker	matters	1:24 74:7,22
12:6 25:19 31:22,24	12:16 13:21 14:4	44:6 54:4	mild
lives	19:18,25 23:5 24:12	mean	16:6
23:8	34:7 36:23 39:3	6:21 38:16 64:6	miles
logical	45:8 50:6 51:9 53:3	meaning	5:12
20:3	63:8,8,9,19 71:14	10:18 37:20	million
long	71:21 72:10	meaningful	7:2
21:13 60:6 69:7	makers	10:16 14:17	misidentify
longer	37:4	meaningless	34:7
18:22	making	14:12,14	misreports
look	8:23	meaninglessness	20:19
18:21 22:21,23,24,25	male	14:24	mistake
24:11,18 26:25	2:10 25:24 56:7	means	2:18,19
28:11,20 32:14	males	16:5 33:2,8 34:21	mistaken
38:14,18 40:10,20	21:19 23:24 56:8,9	36:12 37:2 43:4	71:3
48:24 57:21 59:3	67:21 68:20	mechanism	misunderstanding
64:21	man	17:18	36:11
looked	7:10 48:24 60:5	medical	mitzvah
21:13 33:24	66:12	6:7	4:2,3,23 28:24
looking	manner	medicalized	mitzvahed
8:21 27:19 63:22	7:16,18	11:17	28:12
loser	map	Melanesia	mix
71:16	6:18,23 7:6,15,21	68:12	37:14
lot	21:24	men	mom
35:11 38:13 48:4	Marilyn	48:14,18 49:7,15,19	48:25
54:25 55:2 65:10	51:5	49:21,22 50:4,15	money
lots	Marissa	51:20,22,22 57:25	55:2
4:18 61:15	1:24 74:7,22	58:3,8 64:24 68:7,7	Monroe
love	Mark	mental	51:5



moral	8:6 16:10,18 38:10	obvious	11:18 62:4 65:23
10:14 16:12,23 56:18	43:8,19	2:16 9:4 20:9 24:14	oppressive
morality	New	39:13 53:4 67:17	50:11
2:22 30:9	1:11,11 2:5 33:21	obviously	order
morally	68:11 74:3,5,8	24:5	10:13
38:7 47:16,20 64:6	non	occur	ordering
mother	52:18	7:3 41:18,20,20 42:6	58:5
43:15,15	non-consequentiali	occurred	ordinary
move	17:13	71:2	12:4 16:22
8:9	noninterference	occurring	orgasms
movie	12:20	9:10	72:6
42:19	Notary	occurs	oriented
movies	74:7	46:19	61:12,13
42:11	notation	odd	ought
murder	39:15	3:22 36:2,4	5:21
17:8	note	offended	outcome
murky	49:9	55:14 57:5	74:15
21:11	notion	offensive	outrage
mustache	5:18 9:2 24:2 28:5	19:9 49:6 54:9	65:10,22
19:8	34:5,19 36:5 51:15	oh	outraged
	51:16 65:14	30:16	65:13,23
N	Nozick	okay	outrageous
nature	54:20	4:10 8:10,11,11,12	38:22,23
31:5 59:16	number	8:14,15,16,17 13:22	outside
necessary	1:25 8:23 23:24	14:10 18:14,21,25	41:12
6:8	67:21	26:16 27:11 35:16	override
need	numbers	40:8 45:24 48:15,17	70:15
31:19 41:4 48:10	23:20 67:22	48:19 49:8 50:9	overrides
73:2		52:7,11,23 54:14	69:24 70:2
negative	O	57:16,21 60:7 62:11	owe
20:8,14,18,23 22:6,8	0	64:4,6,6 70:2	13:2,3 70:7,8
22:9,12 25:9 26:5	28:3	old	owes
55:19 64:7	obese	2:11 3:3,8,9 8:2,4,5,6	44:20,22
negatively	56:20 57:4	9:3 20:7,12 27:12	P
37:12	objectification	27:23 37:10 60:8	
negatives 22:22	35:20,23	olds	pain 22:14
	objectifying	3:6 30:15	
net 30:15	13:10,15 objection	operate	paint 19:7
neuroscience	3:20 32:13	18:10	pair
32:4	objections	opinions	21:11
neurotic	28:4	30:20	paradox
31:10	objective	OPPENHEIMER	paradox 46:12
neutral	14:7 45:20 53:24	2:2 5:2 10:4 15:4,14	paradoxes
21:20,22	obligation	31:3 43:5 58:18	paradoxes 7:7
never	32:18	69:17 70:18 72:17	parallel
IIC (CI	32.10	opposed	Pai anci



10.05	 [l
13:25	peers	pertain	political
part	34:4	47:23	47:12
22:9 37:19,24 71:25	penile	petty	poor
participant	68:4	17:12	37:8
2:12	people	philosopher	poorly
participants	2:24 5:5 7:8 9:21	72:22	44:4 46:13
4:11,12 9:22 30:25	12:18 14:5 15:25	philosophical	popped
participate	16:16 18:17 21:25	47:12	59:10
4:22	24:13,20 29:16	philosophy	pornography
participating	30:18,21 31:25	35:13 64:17 72:20	7:13 38:21
4:2 13:18	32:12 33:24 34:12	73:4	pose
participation	35:13 36:5 37:2,6	physicality	30:23
3:24 9:19 10:2	37:25 38:13 41:16	11:15	position
particular	43:7 44:6,8 48:20	pick	8:2 25:15,20
16:14 31:6,9 43:13	49:6 50:21 54:6,25	12:5,15 16:22 43:24	positions
44:11 47:3	55:2,5,25 57:10	44:4 59:22,24	12:6 63:22
particularly	60:5 61:23 62:14	piece	positive
33:24 36:4 46:21	63:9 65:13,14,23	10:5	21:20,22 22:21 23:25
47:7 48:4 49:8	66:5,7 68:3 69:6,20	place	25:9 26:2
50:21 51:21 52:21	70:18,25 71:15 73:6	31:9 33:19 49:10	possible
68:7	people's	places	31:18
parties	48:16 56:16 60:5	5:14 68:11	practice
74:13	percentage	plausible	15:20 57:14
partner	41:25	25:21	precisely
60:19 66:16	perfectly	play	65:19
partners	16:12 55:8	23:10 64:13 70:21	prefer
34:14 55:16	perform	71:24	40:14 48:14 49:3
parts	23:6	pleasure	preference
33:20	period	10:9 11:11 14:18,19	47:14 48:7,8 49:19
passion	9:17	14:22 15:24 26:22	50:15 51:2,3,11,23
33:9	perky	33:12 39:12 45:16	57:25 58:4 66:25
patient	50:16	45:18 46:2 73:6,7	68:19
16:18	permissible	73:17	preferences
pattern	9:2,18	pleasures	47:10 48:6,9,22 49:9
50:3	person	72:18,24,25 73:2	49:13 50:22,23 51:7
pause	16:23 18:12,20 19:15	plenty	54:12 59:21 63:4
43:6	32:23 35:8,10 43:12	56:9 61:17	64:23,25 65:7
pay	43:14 44:17 45:4,10	PODCAST	pregnant
27:6 55:2	46:24 47:4 52:20	1:2	16:20
pedophile	62:3,4,22,24 70:8,9	point	premarital
37:8,20	70:10	7:19 8:12,13,14,16	10:11
pedophiles	person's	9:21 12:9 19:13	premises
36:18	16:13 19:6 24:18	25:14,23 26:17	64:12
pedophilia	45:6	64:20	prepare
10:24 22:4 36:19	persons	points	4:23
37:4,25	47:6	17:3 33:15,16 38:4,5	prepared
3			I True



69:7	producing	48:2 49:12 55:3,6	53:8 54:4 68:24,25
preparing	49:22	56:21 68:10 73:18	reasons
28:23	prominence		25:12 50:6 52:14
prepubescent	47:9	R	55:25 56:6,12 67:19
40:22 67:23	properties	R	recital
present	62:4,23	74:2	6:4
13:12,12 45:8	property	racial	recorded
pretty	17:23 19:6 39:19	47:11,17 48:5 54:12	74:11
19:18 50:17 59:6	protected	Raja	reference
65:13 66:25	6:22	33:7	27:15,17,20 28:6
prevents	providing	rape	regard
18:7	65:5 66:6,8	2:21 5:9 6:15,17 7:2	6:15 29:9 44:21,23
price	psychology	7:9,19 15:17 16:2	48:21
27:6 66:18	56:18 60:5,22 61:23	17:5,6,8,9 18:4,7	regarded
primary	Public	22:2 23:22 29:11	43:17,25 44:3
45:25	74:8	41:24 42:2	regardless
principle	publicly	raped	33:2
30:6 32:9	50:20	16:24 42:4	reject
principles	punish	raping	27:9
2:22	6:24	43:11,11,13,14,18	related
probabilistic	punished	ratchet	74:13
25:2,11 26:19,20	29:14	52:4	relation
27:3 28:5 29:5,25	punishment	rational	23:25 61:22
30:3,22	6:24	35:3,4,9 36:6	relationship
probabilities	purely	reach	10:19 59:17
23:7 25:8 27:10,11	40:18 61:20	25:17	relationships
27:21 31:8 32:8	purest	reaction	22:13 60:15
37:13	56:11	36:20	relevant
probability	pursued	reading	33:18 34:20 35:7
20:25 23:9 26:4,11	7:16	72:7	56:15
31:22 37:15	push	real	remove
probably	20:4 25:12,22	14:24 18:10 48:18	30:3
14:25 22:3 38:23	put	50:15 51:15 54:5	replaceable
41:25 53:7,16 65:4	15:11 21:18 22:25	61:23	60:20
72:20	48:6	really	replacement
probe	putting	3:14 4:7 6:8 13:13,14	62:25
63:18	29:8	16:15 19:20 24:3	report
problem		28:14,14,21,22	9:9 23:24 24:3 26:2
5:23 15:15 63:2,3	Q	38:18 39:5 40:5	37:11 68:2
71:25	question	42:3 51:15,20 60:18	reported
problems	14:21 26:6 37:24	63:10,18 72:21	1:24 21:19,22 68:4
28:7 65:8	41:2 69:18	realm	reports
process	quick	43:6	9:5
43:23	28:4	reason	reproduce
produce	quite	18:11 20:6 27:8 39:4	66:15
22:19	9:4 10:23 21:10 27:8	39:5 41:23 47:19	repugnant
	I	I	• 6



	i	i	1
47:16	34:18 35:5,7,15	14:25	33:10
requisite	36:25 37:16 54:23	satisfy	seen
3:12	70:12,14,24	70:7,8	47:16
resident	risk	saying	self
20:3	21:5 22:23 23:2,4,5,6	20:18 22:23 26:13	46:22 62:10,10 68:2
resources	23:16 24:4,10,11	29:15 57:18	68:3
65:5	29:6,7,9,16 30:23	says	sense
respect	risky	10:7 14:10 15:9 25:3	17:25 28:16 29:4
35:5	24:5	26:25 32:3 58:24	40:15 44:25 45:6
respected	Robert	59:2	65:18
35:8	54:20	scale	sentenced
respond	Roger	30:12 52:22	7:17
20:17 37:23	36:13	scales	separate
response	romantic	44:7	62:2
20:9 24:25 55:12	48:8 51:10 66:25	scar	SERVICES
63:24 64:2,3	Romeo	21:4	1:10
responses	6:20	scat	set
47:22 63:17,20 68:5	rough	70:21 71:24	5:20,22,25 17:23,24
resulting	4:24	scenario	27:14 37:16 43:21
25:10	roughly	42:25	43:22 45:16,18,19
results	21:18 24:7	scenarios	45:20,24 46:3 74:18
55:10	route	42:23	setback
rid	20:21 37:6	school	18:13
51:23 52:2 58:10	rule	11:5	sets
right	6:13 30:9 32:9	seated	14:2 46:23
8:9,19 12:17,18,19	rules	63:16	setting
12:19,22 13:5,6,7	5:14	second	27:17
14:20 15:13 17:2,15	ruling	9:2 17:16 25:23 27:9	sever
17:17,21,22,22	27:7	27:16 46:12 54:19	27:4
19:13 20:5 22:10,16	run	68:8	severe
23:13,21 25:3,21	6:5	secretly	18:4 28:7 29:13,17
26:25 28:6 30:7	runner	57:24	severely
32:8 34:22 36:23	51:4,4 54:3	sedated	29:14
38:5,23 39:3,5,7	Rynd	11:16	severs
41:3,4,10 42:14	21:12	see	28:5
45:3 48:23 49:6,25		9:15 12:13,14,21	sex
50:8,24 51:19,21	S	13:11,16,21,25 14:9	2:10,20 3:11 4:6 5:5
52:5,11 53:15 55:24	S&M	18:16 19:17 31:14	7:9,10,12 8:5,25
56:6 58:5 65:2	71:23	33:25 35:7,12,21	10:7,8,11,14,22
68:13 70:5,10,17	sacred	42:15 43:3 45:12	11:10,18,25 12:2,4
71:8,9 72:2,9 73:7	32:20	46:6,7 50:3,5,7,13	12:16 15:6,16,24,24
rightness	sad	51:6 53:22,25 54:16	16:3,10,15,17 19:3
23:10 25:6	57:8	57:15 65:10,25	19:15 20:6 21:7,14
rights	satisfaction	66:10,21 68:8,9,13	24:8 25:25 27:21,23
18:10,15,21 19:10	53:15	68:16,19 71:7,10,23	28:2 29:10,15 30:5
20:2 34:10,11,15,16	satisfied	seeing	30:14 31:12 32:2,7
	l	l	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



		I	
33:8 34:5,19,20	4:21 23:18 29:21	13:6 35:14 50:12	2:5 6:18 19:4 74:3,8
36:8 41:22 48:9	41:5 50:15 67:23	70:12,13	statement
54:15 61:24 67:5,16	showing	something's	3:2 53:13 56:17
68:14,20 69:3,15,20	3:25	12:24	states
70:22 71:6,23,23,24	shows	son	5:13 6:20 9:25 24:20
72:5	22:17 54:13,19 55:7	28:12	statistically
sexiness	significance	sort	71:6
42:9	10:12,15 12:12	9:9,24 13:24 23:25	statutes
sexism	significant	26:24 29:15 34:23	7:14,14
69:25	10:20 20:24	35:18 49:14 52:17	statutory
sexual	significantly	53:14 56:18 58:22	2:21 5:9 6:15,17 7:2
1:9 2:1,7 3:1 4:1 5:1	72:14	61:15,22,22 62:17	7:9,19 22:2 23:22
6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	similarly	64:23 65:2,6 66:22	STD
10:6 11:1,22 12:1	18:18	sorts	16:22
13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1	simple	4:4 10:25 42:10,20	Stephen
17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1	33:12	56:21 68:9	1:9 2:1,4,7,9 3:1,18
21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1	simplicity	sounds	4:1 5:1,23 6:1 7:1
25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1	14:15	50:20	8:1,19 9:1 10:1 11:1
29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1	sister	Southeast	12:1,8 13:1 14:1
33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1	48:25	59:9	15:1,13 16:1 17:1,2
37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1	sister's	special	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1
40:21 41:1 42:1	6:4	15:23	21:8 22:1 23:1 24:1
43:1 44:1,10 45:1	situated	specifically	25:1 26:1,16 27:1
46:1 47:1 48:1,5,10	18:18	36:25	28:1 29:1 30:1,7
49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1	situation	speculating	31:1 32:1 33:1,14
53:1 54:1,12 55:1	3:10 11:12 27:12,13	67:16	34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1
55:16 56:1 57:1	skeptical	speed	38:1,11 39:1 40:1
58:1 59:1 60:1,16	41:9 57:20 72:16	64:22	41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1
61:1,20 62:1 63:1	snowy	sport	44:14 45:1 46:1
64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1	60:9	35:13	47:1,25 48:1 49:1
67:9 68:1 69:1 70:1	social	sports	50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1
70:20 71:1 72:1	57:5	15:20	54:1 55:1,22 56:1
73:1 74:1	socially	spouse	57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
sexuality	57:10	34:13 58:22	60:3 61:1 62:1 63:1
61:9 69:6	societal	SS	64:1,19 65:1 66:1
sexy	33:18	74:4	67:1,6,14 68:1 69:1
41:19 42:7,25 51:20	societies	stalemate	69:22 70:1 71:1,4
Shane	68:21	25:18,19	72:1 73:1,5,13 74:1
42:14	society	standard	stereotypes
shape	22:10,11 31:11,21	2:13 45:14	61:15,19
54:8	32:5 56:21 68:8	standardly	stick
share	solely	34:16	8:17,18 29:24
64:10,12	35:15 61:9	start	stories
shared	solitude	2:8 8:3,8 33:5 60:15	65:7
62:15,18,19	59:23	67:12	straight
show	someone's	state	50:9
	I	I	I



	-	-	
strange	66:17	19:16 20:22 21:9	6:12 11:6
63:19	support	22:22 23:21 33:11	theft
Street	61:8	37:7 39:14,18,19	17:12
1:11	suppose	40:3 41:12 48:7	theoretical
strict	11:23 32:12	56:19 60:5,12	61:25 62:21
28:19	sure	takes	theories
strikes	3:18 18:5 34:9 39:7	7:11	35:12 62:14
27:24 58:17	47:18 58:3,15 67:6	talking	theory
strong	69:5	2:6 23:22 56:7	46:4,5,21,22 63:2
36:20 49:12,25 52:9	surprising	taste	therapy
55:8 56:21 58:13	67:22	48:18	31:19
63:11,15,20,23 64:2	surprisingly	taxonomy	they'd
69:2,14	19:23 67:21	13:4	52:2 58:11 72:13,19
stronger	suspect	teenage	thin
61:5	4:9 33:19 58:6	22:2 65:15,15	50:16 51:3 54:2,2
strongly	sweet	teenagers	thing
43:16 55:4	56:4	23:23 24:6,7,10	11:18 19:16 21:25
structure	sympathetic	25:25 66:24 67:5	26:12 28:15 29:13
3:20	26:18 51:14	teens	31:18,23 33:3 39:4
structured	sympathize	40:23	39:5 48:21 58:10
9:20	57:6	teeth	59:7
studies		11:3	things
21:11,16 29:21 49:17	T	tell	2:20 3:22,23 4:4 5:8
study	T	2:19 57:22,23 65:6	6:10 8:20 10:25
49:18 64:22	74:2,2	tells	14:18 15:19,21
studying	taboos	8:25	19:12 21:23 22:5,10
28:25	1:9 2:1,7 3:1 4:1 5:1	ten	23:3 26:22 32:14
stuff	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	46:17	38:24 40:6 42:7
59:13	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1	tend	45:22 49:14 50:17
suddenly	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1	5:6	56:25 58:14 59:19
47:9	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	tension	61:14 66:21 67:2
suffer	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1	12:4	68:12 70:23 71:22
55:19	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	term	73:15
suffering	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1	21:13 69:8	think
22:14	35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1	terms	2:17,18,24 3:21 4:5
sufficient	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	28:17 29:4 55:5	5:6,21 6:16 7:18
10:17 69:19	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	56:23,24 57:2 62:13	9:16,16 10:2,17
suggest	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	65:5,17,18 66:9,20	11:8 12:8,12 14:22
7:24	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	69:12	14:23 15:2,16,22
suggests	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	terrible	17:5,13,20 18:4
49:18	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	29:13	19:4 21:21 22:8
Superb	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1	test	23:4,5,9,12 24:11
38:11	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	67:20 68:6	27:3,5 28:10,17,20
superficial	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	thank	29:3,6,9,17,23
11:14	take	52:15	30:25 31:3,16 33:14
supply	6:6 14:18 16:2 19:6	Thanksgiving	33:17 34:6,22,23,24
1			



36:7,10 38:12,13,13	20:14 21:4 28:23	truly	7:16,17
39:16 40:18,19 41:7	32:2 34:11 36:9	19:9	unfortunate
41:16,17 42:3,11,22	37:11 46:15 60:6	try	57:17 60:19
44:6,8,12,17 45:4,7	71:15	7:25 12:15 19:22	unique
45:14,25 46:4,14,20	times	36:21	59:5
46:23 47:3,5 48:17	56:9	trying	University
52:7,14,15 53:5,6	tiny	5:17 30:18	2:5
53:15 54:4,7 55:23	7:4	twelve	unjustified
59:14,25 60:14,18	titillation	2:11 3:3,5,8,9 7:25	50:24
60:21 61:3,5,21	11:20	20:7,12 37:10	unwilling
62:11 64:19,21	today	two	3:6 4:18 29:10
65:10 67:19 69:21	2:3 27:24 28:2 64:4	3:19 7:8 8:11 10:5	upset
70:16,20 71:5,11,13	65:11 68:21,22	12:6 13:20 15:9	48:20 63:10
71:20 72:9,17 73:10	token	17:2,14 20:16 27:5	use
73:12	58:20	36:10 40:9 43:3	13:24 17:18,20 28:22
thinker	told	44:15 46:3 54:11	68:4
45:5	49:12	58:14,16	uses
thinking	touch	type	34:21
24:16 63:25 67:4	34:12,13,14	25:16 51:4 53:11	usually
thinks	tournament	58:21	14:17
10:22 33:7 44:5,17	4:22	typically	utilitarian
44:19 48:24	track	35:12	20:4 22:20 25:5,11
third	56:22,24 57:2 61:21		73:12
27:18 37:6 68:12	transaction	U	utilitarianism
Thomas	13:14	unattractive	25:3,16 26:19,21
Thomas 39:20	13:14 TRANSCRIPT	52:13	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3
Thomas 39:20 thorny	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2	52:13 unaware	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription	52:13 unaware 43:21	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13 understand	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value 55:3
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold 5:7 8:22,23	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20 treatment	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold 5:7 8:22,23 thumb	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20 treatment 6:7	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13 understand 3:11,13,16 4:7,20,25	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value 55:3 valued
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold 5:7 8:22,23 thumb 30:9,12	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20 treatment 6:7 true	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13 understand 3:11,13,16 4:7,20,25 71:5	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value 55:3 valued 59:17
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold 5:7 8:22,23 thumb	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20 treatment 6:7 true 9:8,13,14,14 18:17	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13 understand 3:11,13,16 4:7,20,25 71:5 understanding	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value 55:3 valued 59:17 values
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold 5:7 8:22,23 thumb 30:9,12 tight	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20 treatment 6:7 true 9:8,13,14,14 18:17 42:10 50:13 53:12	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13 understand 3:11,13,16 4:7,20,25 71:5 understanding 4:13,14,15	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value 55:3 valued 59:17 values 61:11
Thomas 39:20 thorny 47:7 thoroughly 60:13 thought 2:8 13:8 18:24 24:22 38:3,7 60:20 64:8 67:3,11 three 8:11 28:4 33:15 three-year 6:21 threshold 5:7 8:22,23 thumb 30:9,12 tight 50:16	13:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:2 transcription 1:7 74:10 transfer 54:21 trauma 31:21 32:6 treat 34:25 35:2,3 36:8,12 treated 35:10 43:25 44:3 treating 35:14 58:20 treatment 6:7 true 9:8,13,14,14 18:17	52:13 unaware 43:21 unclear 5:25 44:7 63:21 uncomfortable 11:24 underage 29:10 undergraduate 51:24 underlying 59:13 understand 3:11,13,16 4:7,20,25 71:5 understanding 4:13,14,15 unequal	25:3,16 26:19,21 27:4,7 29:5,25 30:3 30:22 37:5 utility 22:24 V vague 7:20,20 35:19 valid 70:16 valuable 66:2 value 55:3 valued 59:17 values 61:11 variant



25:12 52:14	12:18,19 34:10,10,11	we've	37:18
vast	34:15,16,17 35:25	19:24 41:7 67:2	win
69:25	64:9	wealth	71:15,17
Vat	waived	54:22	window
1:9 2:3	35:6		6:22
		weighting	
versus	walking	59:21	wins
17:22 44:2 52:24	60:8	Welcome	39:13
vice	want	2:2	WITNESS
37:21 38:24 39:18,19	4:10 7:23 8:16 11:25	went	74:17
40:2,3,17,18 41:10	15:4 16:17 20:4,5	27:21	wives
53:16,17 63:9	20:21 23:3 25:23	WERBELOFF	56:10
vicious	33:11,13 37:13 47:2	2:23 7:23 19:24	woman
37:8 39:2,2,10,16,17	47:5 51:25 52:2,4	24:24 29:23 36:16	49:3,3 53:12 55:13
40:11 43:2,4 47:21	55:25 56:3,4,5,11	47:2 55:11 63:13	57:4 59:7,10 60:10
viciousness	58:6 59:17 65:19	67:3,7 72:23 73:10	66:12
37:19,20 38:4 39:8	wants	weren't	women
video	2:10	64:5 73:17	24:3 41:22,25 48:15
7:11	war	West	48:19 49:7,8,16
view	70:2	1:11	50:5,16 51:16,18,25
2:13 3:22 5:3 10:7,8	wasn't	whatsoever	52:8,13,18 53:6
10:12,13,22 12:2,3	26:8	32:5	54:2 56:19 57:18,23
12:12 15:7,16 16:2	watch	WHEREOF	60:25 64:23,24
16:4,4 19:19 21:20	42:10,14,15,17	74:17	65:16 66:10
21:23 22:9 30:5	watched	whispering	women's
36:2,4 73:14,15	42:19,20	58:23	49:20
views	watching	white	wonderful
10:6 15:9,10 22:12	38:20 40:6	49:7,15,19,21 57:25	59:4
violate	way	58:3	words
15:22	6:16 9:20 12:14 15:8	who've	11:14 43:20 44:5
violation	22:11,25 25:13,15	60:6	55:15 59:22
70:24	25:22 27:14 29:8	widely	work
violence	33:23 40:13,14,20	2:13	12:10 36:14
42:21	44:6,7 54:21,22	widespread	working
violent	55:9 59:3 63:22,25	68:6	9:11
42:11 44:10	64:10 74:15	wife	works
virtue	ways	7:11,12 62:8,20	9:12 72:8
14:8 40:18 45:22	3:19 12:13 17:14	wife's	world
virtuous	19:8 20:16 40:9	62:6,9	40:14 44:2,5 55:23
56:13	44:15 46:7 57:13	willing	61:23
voluntary	61:18	2:12 3:4,8,10,14,16	worlds
4:11	we'll	3:21 4:11 9:19,22	43:24
vote	58:4	9:25 25:19 29:15	worry
57:24	we're	30:14,24 31:12	52:21
	2:6 6:3 9:18 11:7	willingly	worse
\mathbf{W}	23:22 28:18 30:8	32:21	7:20 17:7,8,9,11,19
waive	42:14 52:24 56:12	willingness	22:7 23:8 46:11
	12.11 32.27 30.12	winingness	22.7 23.0 70.11



			1 age 13
	I	I	1
60:2 72:21	yeah	2	
worst	5:2 9:16,21 12:8		
	•	2023	
31:17	22:18 23:15 24:4,9	74:19	
worth	29:2,24 33:17 36:10	21	
12:10	38:11 55:22 57:8	60:8	
worthy	60:18 63:5 73:14		
		24%	
14:19,21 23:16	year	26:12	
wrestling	2:11 3:3,5,8,9 7:3 8:2	26%	
13:17 28:13 57:13	8:4,5,6 9:3 20:7,12	26:7	
written	27:12,23 30:14	20.7	
	1	3	
47:14	37:10 60:8		
wrong	years	320	
2:14,15,17,25 9:3,15	22:3 31:20 46:17	1:11	
10:3,11 12:16,21,24	65:21	37th	
12:25,25 13:5,21,23	York	1:11	
		1.11	
14:11,16,23 15:17	1:11,11 2:5 33:22	4	
15:18,22 16:3,6,25	74:3,5,8		
18:5 19:2,5,7,12,15	young	40	
19:18,20,25 20:6	32:2 40:23 48:14,14	31:20	
22:17 23:5,6,19	48:17,19 68:7,7,20	45%	
24:12 28:9 29:13	younger	21:21	
30:6 31:17 32:16	24:8	21.21	
	24.0	5	
33:3 34:7 36:22	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	50%	
37:3 38:9,18 39:3,4			
39:4,7 40:23 43:9	0	24:7	
44:9 45:8 50:6 51:9		58:11	
53:3 54:7,14,16	0.2	1:8	
55:25 62:25 63:7,19	7:4		
69:21 70:5,6,6,11		6	
	1		
70:14 71:10,11,14	100%	7	
71:15,20 72:10	73:13	7	
wrongfulness	10018	27:13	
18:8			
wrongly	1:11	7.5	
70:4	12th	6:25	
	1:11 74:18	74%	
wrongness	13	21:19 25:24	
10:24 17:4 21:6	27:12,23		
23:11 25:6	15	8	
wrongs		866)624-6221	
34:6 70:5	30:14	1:12	
wrote	16	1.14	
10:5	5:15 6:19 24:7 27:14	9	
10.5	17		
X	6:19	974466	
A	18	1:25	
Y	5:15 6:19 32:7		
	3.13 0.17 32.7		
	I	l	

